THE UNIFIED SELF-OBSERVATION OPERATOR: FROM PHYSICAL CONSTANTS THROUGH TOROIDAL GEOMETRY TO LANGUAGE STRUCTURE

(The Unified Self-Observation Operator: From Physical Constants Through Toroidal Geometry to Language Structure)

A synthesis of 39 studies: mathematical constants, the 3-6-9 pattern, digital triangulation, and the architecture of alphabets as projections of the strange loop $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$

Pankratov Anton Sergeevich
Панкратов Антон Сергеевич

Independent researcher, Kazan, Russia
Независимый исследователь, г. Казань, Россия
E-mail: anton.s.pankratov@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0002-4870-2995

UDC 511.3 + 512.54 + 530.145 + 81'1

АННОТАЦИЯ

Статья представляет единый оператор самонаблюдения $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ как порождающий принцип, из которого выводятся структуры трёх, казалось бы, несвязанных предметных областей: физических констант, тороидальной геометрии и архитектуры естественных языков. Показано, что число π является инвариантом непрерывного спектра оператора наблюдения Φ — его фазовым периодом, определяющим все циклические процессы. Золотое сечение $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ выступает инвариантом дискретной рекурсии — скоростью сходимости итераций странной петли. Совместное присутствие обоих инвариантов реализуется на φ-торе с отношением радиусов $R/r = \varphi$ и площадью поверхности $S = 4\pi^2 Rr$. Спиральный зазор $(\pi - 3)^2 \approx 2\%$ интерпретируется как мера незамкнутости наблюдения. Массовая формула $\mu = 6\pi^5$+поправки $\approx m_p/m_e$ раскрывает физическую сигнатуру оператора. Паттерн 3-6-9 прослеживается через цивилизации как след цифрового корня mod 9, а период Пизано $\pi(9) = 24$ связывает последовательность Фибоначчи [1] с 24-элементной структурой. Оператор деконфигурации $\hat{D}$ формализует переход от потенциала к конфигурации. Система Каруна содержит 144 элемента, где $144 = F(12) = 12^2$. Протоалфавит $36 = 27 + 9$ и цифровая триангуляция (Кибальников) связывают фонетическую структуру языка с числовой архитектурой. Буквица $7 \times 7 = 49$ и φ-масштабирование в лингвистике (закон Менцерата—Альтмана с показателем $\approx -1/\varphi$) демонстрируют, что язык является проекцией того же оператора, что и физические константы. Киматика рассматривается как визуализация оператора наблюдения. Настоящая работа синтезирует результаты 39 исследовательских документов в единую формальную конструкцию.

Ключевые слова: самонаблюдение, странная петля, золотое сечение, число пи, спиральный зазор, цифровой корень, mod 9, φ-тор, деконфигурация, протоалфавит, цифровая триангуляция.

ABSTRACT

This paper presents the unified self-observation operator $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ as a generative principle from which the structures of three seemingly unrelated domains are derived: physical constants, toroidal geometry, and natural language architecture. It is shown that π serves as the invariant of the continuous spectrum of the observation operator Φ — its phase period governing all cyclic processes. The golden ratio $\varphi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ acts as the invariant of discrete recursion — the convergence rate of strange loop iterations. The simultaneous presence of both invariants is realized on the φ-torus with radii ratio $R/r = \varphi$ and surface area $S = 4\pi^2 Rr$. The spiral gap $(\pi - 3)^2 \approx 2\%$ is interpreted as a measure of observation non-closure. The mass formula $\mu = 6\pi^5 +$ corrections $\approx m_p/m_e$ reveals the physical signature of the operator. The 3-6-9 pattern is traced across civilizations as a footprint of the digital root mod 9, and the Pisano period $\pi(9) = 24$ connects the Fibonacci sequence to a 24-element structure. The deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ formalizes the transition from potential to configuration. The Karuna system contains 144 elements, where $144 = F(12) = 12^2$. The proto-alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$ and digital triangulation (Kibalnikov) link the phonetic structure of language to numerical architecture. Bukvitsa $7 \times 7 = 49$ and φ-scaling in linguistics (the Menzerath-Altmann law with exponent $\approx -1/\varphi$) demonstrate that language is a projection of the same operator as physical constants. Cymatics is considered as a visualization of the observation operator. The present work synthesizes results from 39 research documents into a unified formal construction.

Keywords: self-observation, strange loop, golden ratio, pi, spiral gap, digital root, mod 9, phi-torus, deconfiguration, proto-alphabet, digital triangulation.

I. INTRODUCTION

The central thesis of the present work is the following: reality is a fixed point of a self-observing operator. Formally, this is written as

$$\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*), \quad \text{where } \Phi = \iota \circ \hat{O} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\hat{O}$ is the observation operator that translates potential H into configuration C (the act of selecting the definite out of the indefinite), and ι is the inclusion operator that returns the result of observation back into potential. The composition $\Phi = \iota \circ \hat{O}$ defines a strange loop in Hofstadter's sense [2]: the system observes itself, and the result of observation becomes that which is observed.

A strange loop is not a metaphor. It is a strict mathematical structure: a mapping

$\Phi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}$ acting on the space of potential states $\mathcal{H}$, for which there exists a fixed point $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$. Banach's fixed-point theorem [3] guarantees the existence and uniqueness of Ψ^* provided that Φ is a contraction mapping. In the ODTOE context, the contraction condition is ensured by the structure of the φ-torus, whose geometry sets the exponential damping of iterations at the rate φ^{-1}.

The operator Φ admits a spectral decomposition. Its eigenvalues form the spectrum $\{\lambda_n\}$, and the leading eigenvalue has the form:

$$\lambda_1 = \varphi^{-1} \cdot e^{i\theta_1} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\varphi^{-1} \approx 0.618$ determines the convergence rate (the modulus), and θ_1 is the phase of the first mode. This spectrum contains both fundamental invariants at once: φ governs discrete recursion (the modulus), while π governs continuous phase (θ_1 is expressed through π). Neither of these invariants can be eliminated without destroying the structure of the strange loop.

Within this theory, the Big Bang is interpreted not as a singularity of space-time, but as a primary act of self-observation — the moment at which the deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ is first applied to the potential H:

$$\hat{D}^{-1}(H) \to C_0 \tag{1.3}$$

where C_0 is the primary configuration from which the recursion of levels unfolds. The operator $\hat{D}^{-1}$ is the inverse of deconfiguration: it converts undifferentiated potential into the first distinguished structure. In classical cosmology, this corresponds to the transition from the inflationary phase to the formation of the first particles [4]. In ODTOE, however, this transition requires no external triggering mechanism: it is an intrinsic property of the operator Φ. Any self-observing operator with a nonzero spectrum inevitably generates differentiation.

The three domains considered in this work — physical constants, toroidal geometry, and the structure of language — at first glance seem to have nothing in common. The proton-to-electron mass ratio $\mu = m_p/m_e \approx 1836.15$ belongs to elementary-particle physics. The geometry of the φ-torus belongs to differential geometry and the theory of dynamical systems. The structure of alphabets belongs to linguistics and semiotics. Yet, as will be shown, all three domains are projections of one and the same spectral decomposition of the operator Φ.

The projection onto the real axis of the spectrum yields physical constants: $6\pi^5 \approx 1836.12$ approximates μ to the fourth decimal place. The projection onto phase space yields toroidal geometry with the characteristic ratio $R/r = \varphi$. The projection onto the discrete lattice of modes (taking into account the digital root mod 9 and the Pisano period $\pi(9) = 24$) yields the numerical architecture underlying proto-alphabets.

The structure of the present article is organized into eight acts spanning 23 sections. The first act (Sections I—III) introduces the two basic invariants: π as the invariant of continuous observation and φ as the invariant of discrete recursion. The second act (Sections IV—VI) demonstrates their union on the φ-torus and analyzes the spiral gap $(\pi-3)^2$. The third act (Sections VII—IX) is devoted to physical consequences: the mass formula, spectral geometry, and the deconfiguration operator. The fourth act

(Sections X—XII) reveals the 3-6-9 pattern and its manifestations in the digital root, the Pisano period, and the Karuna system. The fifth act (Sections XIII—XV) moves to linguistics: the proto-alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$, Kibalnikov's digital triangulation, and Bukvitsa 7×7. The sixth act (Sections XVI—XVIII) analyzes φ-scaling in language: the Menzerath-Altmann law, Zipf's law, and fractal phonetics. The seventh act (Sections XIX—XXI) links cymatics with the observation operator and examines the civilizational traces of the 3-6-9 pattern. The eighth and final act (Sections XXII—XXIII) formulates the theorem of unity and outlines an experimental program.

The need for such a synthesis is due to the following observation. Over the period 2023—2025, the author completed 39 research works, each of which fixed a particular aspect of a unified structure: from the calculation of $6\pi^5$ to the analysis of archaic alphabets, from the φ-torus to cymatic patterns. Yet none of those works contained a formal proof that all the phenomena described are generated by one operator. The present article [5] fills that gap.

II. π AS THE INVARIANT OF CONTINUOUS OBSERVATION

The number π is transcendental. This means that there is no polynomial with integer coefficients for which π is a root:

$$\nexists\, P(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x] : P(\pi) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

The proof was obtained by Lindemann in 1882 [6] and is one of the central results of nineteenth-century number theory. The transcendence of π has a deep informational meaning: the decimal expansion of π contains an infinite non-computable sequence of digits that cannot be reduced to a finite algorithm. In ODTOE terms, this means that π encodes infinite information in finite form [7] — the defining property of the potential H.

The number π appears in every continuous cyclic process: from trigonometric functions to Gaussian integrals, from the spectra of oscillatory systems to quantum-mechanical phase factors. Yet its appearance in a purely arithmetic context is even more striking. The Basel problem, solved by Euler in 1735 [8], establishes:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \tag{2.2}$$

This result shows that the natural numbers — objects of discrete arithmetic — "know" about π, the quantity that determines continuous cyclic processes. The connection between the discrete and the continuous is not an accidental coincidence but a structural property of the operator Φ [4].

The generalization of the Basel problem to arbitrary even powers gives the formula in terms of the Bernoulli numbers B_{2k}:

$$\zeta(2k) = (-1)^{k+1}\frac{(2\pi)^{2k}B_{2k}}{2(2k)!} \tag{2.3}$$

Powers of π appear systematically in the values of the Riemann zeta function at even natural arguments. In ODTOE, this is explained by the fact that π is the eigenvalue of the phase part of the operator Φ on the continuous spectrum: each mode with number n contributes a phase term proportional to π, and summation over all modes generates powers of π in the denominators.

Within ODTOE, π is interpreted as the phase period of the continuous spectrum of the operator Φ. The potential H is characterized by inexhaustibility — a property that, in the language of number theory, is expressed precisely as transcendence. Rational numbers define finite information (a terminating decimal or a periodic fraction). Algebraic irrationalities define finite information through a finite polynomial. But a transcendental number cannot be reduced to any finite algebraic procedure — in a certain sense, it contains infinite information. That is precisely why the potential H, as the source of all determinacy, is characterized by the transcendental invariant π.

The continuity of the spectrum of Φ in the phase domain means that the phases θ_n do not take a discrete set of values, but densely fill the interval $[0, 2\pi)$. Each cycle of observation Φ shifts the phase by an amount determined by the mode, and a full phase rotation is equal to 2π. This is not a postulate, but a consequence of the fact that Φ is a unitary operator on phase space: the unitarity condition $\Phi^\dagger\Phi = \mathbf{1}$ together with the compactness of phase space uniquely fix 2π as the period.

The physical signature of π in the spectrum of the operator Φ is found in the proton-to-electron mass ratio:

$$6\pi^5 = 1836.118\ldots \approx \frac{m_p}{m_e} = 1836.15267343(11) \tag{2.4}$$

The coincidence to the fourth decimal place ($\delta \approx 0.002$%) is remarkable. In standard physics, the ratio m_p/m_e is determined by quark dynamics inside the proton and does not have a simple analytic expression. In ODTOE, this ratio is a spectral invariant of the fifth mode: the coefficient 6 reflects the six-dimensionality of the information cell (three spatial plus three informational dimensions), while π^5 is the fivefold product of the phase period corresponding to five levels of recursion from the electronic to the protonic configuration.

The ubiquity of π is also manifested in the Gaussian integral $\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{-x^2}\,dx = \sqrt{\pi}$, which lies at the foundation of the quantum-mechanical probability amplitude. Euler's formula $e^{i\pi} + 1 = 0$ links five fundamental mathematical constants (e, i, π, 1, 0) in a single identity. In ODTOE, this identity has the status of a structural law: it expresses the fact that a full phase rotation (π), through exponential mapping (e) in the imaginary direction (i), returns the system to its initial state up to sign, requiring two full rotations (2π) for complete closure — precisely the structure of a spinor.

In sum, π is not a parameter of the theory. It is an invariant — a quantity that cannot be altered without destroying the very possibility of continuous observation. Any operator Φ with a continuous phase spectrum inevitably generates π as its fundamental period.

III. THE GOLDEN RATIO φ AS THE INVARIANT OF DISCRETE RECURSION

The golden ratio $\varphi = (1+\sqrt{5})/2 \approx 1.6180339887$ is the root of the simplest self-referential polynomial:

$$\varphi^2 - \varphi - 1 = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

This equation is equivalent to the identity

$$\varphi = 1 + \frac{1}{\varphi} \tag{3.2}$$

which is nothing other than a fixed-point equation: φ is defined through itself. Among all algebraic numbers, φ has a unique property — it is the most irrational number in the sense of continued-fraction theory. The continued fraction $\varphi = 1 + 1/(1+1/(1+\ldots))$ consists entirely of ones, which ensures the slowest possible rational approximation.

This property has a direct dynamical consequence. The Kolmogorov—Arnold—Moser (KAM) theorem [9, 10, 11] states that quasiperiodic orbits with a frequency ratio that is most poorly approximable by rational numbers possess maximal stability under perturbations. Since φ is the champion of irrationality, orbits with frequency ratio φ are the last to be destroyed as perturbation grows. Thus φ is not an arbitrary constant but the optimal parameter of stability in dynamical systems.

In the ODTOE context, φ governs the convergence rate of strange-loop iterations. If $\Phi^{(n)}$ denotes the n-fold composition of the operator Φ, then the distance from the nth iteration to the fixed point decreases as φ^{-n}. This follows from the fact that the leading eigenvalue λ_1 has modulus $|\lambda_1| = \varphi^{-1}$ (formula 1.2). The rate $\varphi^{-1} \approx 0.618$ is the golden ratio "turned inward": each subsequent iteration approaches the fixed point by a fraction φ^{-1} of the remaining distance.

Both invariants — π and φ — are jointly realized on the φ-torus. A torus with major radius R and minor radius r satisfying the condition $R/r = \varphi$ has surface area

$$S_{\text{torus}} = 4\pi^2 Rr \quad \text{when} \quad R/r = \varphi \tag{3.3}$$

The principal curvatures of such a torus are

$$\kappa_1 = \varphi, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{1}{\varphi} \tag{3.4}$$

which directly expresses the self-referential structure (3.2) in geometric terms. The φ-torus is the only toroidal surface on which the product of the principal curvatures $\kappa_1 \cdot \kappa_2 = 1$, while their ratio $\kappa_1/\kappa_2 = \varphi^2 = \varphi + 1$ is itself expressed through the golden ratio [12].

Finally, the fundamental difference between the two invariants is fixed by Lindemann's theorem in extended form. The number π is transcendental, whereas

φ is algebraic (the root of $x^2 - x - 1 = 0$). From the Lindemann—Weierstrass theorem it follows that

$$\pi \text{ transcendental} + \varphi \text{ algebraic} \implies \nexists\, P(\pi, \varphi) = 0 \text{ over } \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.5}$$

There exists no nonzero polynomial with integer coefficients that vanishes when π and φ are substituted simultaneously. This means that the two invariants of the operator Φ are algebraically independent: neither can be derived from the other by finite algebraic operations. The continuous spectrum (π) and discrete recursion (φ) are two irreducible aspects of self-observation, united only geometrically — on the φ-torus.

IV. OPERATORS OF OBSERVATION AND IMMERSION

In the previous sections, it was established that π governs the continuous phase spectrum, while φ governs discrete recursion. It is now necessary to define the formal construction that realizes the strange loop $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ explicitly. For this purpose, two operators are introduced: the observation operator $\hat{O}_B$ and the immersion (inclusion) operator ι_S. Their composition $\Phi_{B,S} = \iota_S \circ \hat{O}_B$ is the strange-loop operator, depending on two parameters: observation coherence $B \in [0, 1]$ and inclusion density $S \in [0, 1]$.

IV.1. The Observation Operator $\hat{O}_B$

The observation operator $\hat{O}_B$ maps an element of the Hilbert space of potential $\mathcal{H}$ into the configuration space $\mathcal{C}$. Observation is not a pure projection — it is accompanied by noise, whose nature is due to the finite coherence of the observer. Formally:

$$\hat{O}_B(\Psi) = B \cdot P_A(\Psi) + (1 - B) \cdot \eta_B(\Psi) \tag{4.1}$$

Here P_A is the orthogonal projector onto the subspace $A \subset \mathcal{H}$ corresponding to the configuration being actualized; $B \in [0, 1]$ is the observation-coherence parameter; $\eta_B(\Psi)$ is a noise term satisfying the condition $||\eta_B(\Psi)|| \leq ||\Psi||$. When $B = 1$, observation is an ideal projection: $\hat{O}_1(\Psi) = P_A(\Psi)$. When $B = 0$, the result is fully determined by noise — observation extracts no determinacy from potential. Intermediate values of B describe real observation with finite precision.

The projector P_A satisfies the standard conditions: $P_A^2 = P_A$, $P_A^\dagger = P_A$, $\mathrm{Im}(P_A) = A$. The subspace A is determined by the observer's choice — by the aspect of potential toward which attention is directed. In the physical context, this corresponds to the choice of measurement basis; in the linguistic context, to the choice of semantic field; in the broader philosophical context, to the act of distinction.

The noise term η_B models those aspects of potential that "leak" into the result of observation in an unpredictable manner. It is important to emphasize that noise is not a defect of observation. It is a necessary consequence of finite coherence and serves a productive function — it provides the variability of configurations without which evolution is impossible.

IV.2. The Immersion Operator ι_S

The immersion operator ι_S performs the reverse operation: it maps the result of observation $R \in \mathcal{C}$ back into the space of potential $\mathcal{H}$. However, immersion is not an exact inversion of observation — it is accompanied by decoherence determined by the density parameter S:

$$\iota_S(R) = R \cdot e_A + \sqrt{1-S^2} \cdot \sum_k c_k \cdot e_k \tag{4.2}$$

Here e_A is the unit vector in the direction of the subspace A; $\{e_k\}_{k \neq A}$ is an orthonormal basis of the orthogonal complement $A^\perp$; c_k are decoherence coefficients satisfying the condition $\sum_k |c_k|^2 = 1$; $S \in [0,1]$ is the inclusion-density parameter. When $S = 1$, immersion is exact: $\iota_1(R) = R \cdot e_A$, and the result of observation returns to $\mathcal{H}$ without loss. When $S = 0$, decoherence dominates: the result is "smeared" over all modes of the orthogonal complement.

IV.3. Composition: The Strange-Loop Operator

The strange-loop operator $\Phi_{B,S}$ is defined as the composition of immersion and observation:

$$\Phi_{B,S} = \iota_S \circ \hat{O}_B \tag{4.3}$$

This operator acts from $\mathcal{H}$ to $\mathcal{H}$ and realizes the full cycle: potential $\to$ configuration $\to$ potential. The fixed point $\Psi^* = \Phi_{B,S}(\Psi^*)$ is the state that reproduces itself under self-observation. The existence of such a point is guaranteed by Banach's theorem under the contraction condition.

IV.4. Contraction Condition and the Fixed-Point Theorem

The operator $\Phi_{B,S}$ is a contraction if and only if there exists $q < 1$ such that for any $\Psi_1, \Psi_2 \in \mathcal{H}$:

$$||\Phi_{B,S}(\Psi_1) - \Phi_{B,S}(\Psi_2)|| \leq q \cdot ||\Psi_1 - \Psi_2||, \quad q < 1 \tag{4.4}$$

The contraction constant q is expressed through the parameters B and S. A direct calculation of the norm of the difference gives:

$q = B \cdot S + (1 - B) \cdot \sqrt{1 - S^2}$

The condition $q < 1$ is satisfied when $B > 0$ and $S > 0$, which means that the strange loop has a fixed point whenever observation possesses nonzero coherence and immersion possesses nonzero density. The only exception is fully incoherent observation ($B = 0$) or zero inclusion density ($S = 0$), in which case the cycle degenerates.

The minimum of q at fixed product $B \cdot S$ is attained at $B = S$, and in that case $q|_{B=S} = B^2 + (1 - B)\sqrt{1 - B^2}$. The true minimiser of this diagonal function lies near $v^* \approx 0.562$ with value $q^* \approx 0.67813$. The operating point $B = S = \varphi^{-1} \approx 0.618$ is KAM-selected (the worst-Diophantine torus $\omega^* = \varphi^{-1}$), not obtained by minimisation; the value of q there is $q|_{\varphi^{-1}} \approx 0.6822$ — near-minimal. **This is a hypothesis, not a proven result**, but it is consistent with the role of φ as the invariant of optimal stability (Section III, KAM theorem).

IV.5. Entropic Bound of the Observation Cycle

Each observation-immersion cycle generates an increment of entropy caused by the noise contribution $(1 - B)$ and the decoherence term $\sqrt{1 - S^2}$. The upper bound on the entropy increment per cycle is

$$\Delta S_H \leq (1 - B) \cdot \log \frac{1}{1 - B} \cdot \sqrt{1 - S^2} \tag{4.5}$$

This formula shows that entropy grows as coherence B and density S decrease. Under ideal observation ($B = 1$), the entropy increment vanishes. Under complete decoherence ($S = 0$), the logarithmic factor reaches its maximum. Formula (4.5) establishes a fundamental connection between the quality of self-observation and irreversibility: the lower the coherence, the more information is dissipated in each cycle.

The entropic bound (4.5) has the structure of a product of two factors: $(1 - B) \cdot \log(1/(1-B))$ is the "informational cost of noise," well known in information theory as a function related to binary entropy; $\sqrt{1 - S^2}$ is the "geometric factor of decoherence," determined by the angle between the inclusion vector and the subspace A. The product of the two factors vanishes only at $B = 1$ (ideal coherence) or $S = 1$ (ideal density), and grows monotonically as one moves away from these limiting cases.

V. THE SPIRAL GAP: WHY THE OBSERVATION CYCLE NEVER CLOSES

V.1. Definition of the Spiral Gap

Section II showed that π is the phase period of the continuous spectrum of the operator Φ. Yet π is not an integer. Its nearest integer approximation from below is 3, and the difference $\delta = \pi - 3 = 0.14159\ldots$ defines the linear gap — the "overshoot" of the phase period beyond the minimal triadic cycle:

$$\delta = \pi - 3 = 0.14159\ldots \tag{5.1}$$

The quantity δ is small, but nonzero. The square of the gap defines the energy of the spiral gap:

$$E_\delta = (\pi - 3)^2 = 0.02005\ldots \approx 2\% \tag{5.2}$$

The value $E_\delta \approx 2\%$ is of key importance. Each full observation-immersion cycle leaves a "residue" of about 2% that cannot be reabsorbed. This residue is the source of irreversibility, the arrow of time, and evolution. Without the spiral gap, the cycle would close exactly, and the system would end up in a stationary state without development.

V.2. Coherence Dynamics with the Gap Taken into Account

The spiral gap modifies the dynamics of coherence. In a closed system (without external inflow), observation coherence decreases from cycle to cycle according to the law

$$B_{n+1} = B_n \cdot (1 - (\pi - 3)^2) + \delta B_{\text{ext}} \tag{5.3}$$

Here δB_{ext} is the external inflow of coherence. When $\delta B_{\text{ext}} = 0$, coherence decays exponentially with decrement $(1 - (\pi - 3)^2) \approx 0.97995$. The stationary value of coherence under constant external inflow is

$B^* = \frac{\delta B_{\text{ext}}}{(\pi-3)^2}$

This means that maintaining coherence requires continuous investment — the system cannot observe itself indefinitely without an external source. This result has deep physical and biological implications: living systems maintain coherence through metabolism (an inflow of energy and information), while physical systems without external inflow degrade (the second law of thermodynamics).

V.3. Exponential Deconfiguration

In a closed system ($\delta B_{\text{ext}} = 0$), configurational determinacy C_n decreases exponentially:

$$C_n = (1 - (\pi - 3)^2)^n \cdot C_0 \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \tag{5.4}$$

The characteristic number of cycles for the half-life of determinacy is $n_{1/2} = \ln 2 / \ln(1/(1 - (\pi - 3)^2)) \approx 34.2$. After approximately 34 observation cycles, the configuration loses half of its determinacy. This value is noteworthy because it is close to the Fibonacci number $F(9) = 34$ — yet another manifestation of φ-structure in the dynamics of the spiral gap.

Formula (5.4) describes a fundamental process: any configuration deprived of external support inevitably dissolves back into potential. This is not destruction in the ordinary sense — it is the return of the actualized to the unactualized, of form to the formless. The deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ (Section VI) formalizes the limiting case $n \to \infty$ of this process.

V.4. Connection of the Spiral Gap with the Golden Ratio

There exists an approximate relation between the spiral gap and the golden ratio:

$$\pi - 3 \approx \frac{1}{\varphi^4} \quad (\text{error } \approx 3\%) \tag{5.5}$$

Numerically, $\varphi^{-4} = (2/(1+\sqrt{5}))^4 = 0.14590\ldots$, whereas $\pi - 3 = 0.14159\ldots$. The difference is $0.00430\ldots$, or approximately 3%. This is not an exact identity, but an approximate relation. Nevertheless, it points to a structural connection: the linear gap δ scales as the fourth power of the inverse golden ratio, which is consistent with the four-dimensional structure of space-time.

V.5. Four Hypotheses About the Nature of the Residue

The spiral gap $E_\delta \approx 2\%$ admits four complementary interpretations:

Hypothesis 1: informational loss. Each observation cycle loses about $\sim 2\%$ of the information about the observed configuration. The lost information is not destroyed (by the law of conservation of information), but passes into correlations between the system and the environment — a mechanism analogous to decoherence in quantum mechanics.

Hypothesis 2: energetic dissipation. The residue E_δ corresponds to the fraction of energy dissipated in each cycle. In thermodynamic terms, this is the minimal "price of observation" — the energetic equivalent of Landauer's principle [13], according to which erasing one bit of information requires a minimum dissipation of $k_B T \ln 2$.

Hypothesis 3: phase boundary. The gap $\delta = \pi - 3$ determines the thickness of the phase boundary between the "determinate" (configuration) and the "indeterminate" (potential). Configuration is never fully determinate — there always remains a band of uncertainty of about $\sim 14\%$ (the linear gap) at the boundary between the actualized and the potential.

Hypothesis 4: boundary thickness. In the geometric interpretation on the φ-torus, the spiral gap determines the thickness of the "layer" between the inner and outer surfaces of the torus. A spiral winding around the torus does not close after one revolution — it is displaced by the amount δ, and this displacement creates an infinite trajectory that remains spatially bounded.

All four hypotheses are connected with the result of Kulakova's harmonic analysis: the spiral wavelength on the φ-torus shrinks by a factor of $1/\varphi$ with each revolution, and the spiral drift is determined precisely by the quantity $\delta = \pi - 3$. Thus, the spiral gap is not a random numerical coincidence, but a structural parameter of toroidal dynamics.

Practical consequence: dogmatic closure ($B \to 1$) is impossible. Any attempt by an observer to attain absolute coherence ($B = 1$) runs into the spiral gap: each cycle introduces an irreversible residue E_δ that cannot be eliminated from within the system. This is the formal expression of the principle of Godelian incompleteness in ODTOE terms: a system observing itself cannot achieve complete self-description.

VI. ZERO AS THE DECONFIGURATION OPERATOR

VI.1. Rethinking Zero

In standard mathematics, zero is additive neutrality: $a + 0 = a$. In ODTOE, zero acquires a fundamentally different status. Zero is not absence; it is the deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$, dissolving actualized configurations back into potential. If the observation operator $\hat{O}_B$ transfers potential into configuration (singles out the definite from the indefinite), then the deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ performs the reverse action, returning the definite to the indefinite:

$$\hat{D} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{H} \tag{6.1}$$

Here $\mathcal{C}$ is the configuration space (the domain of actualized states), and $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert space of potential. The operator $\hat{D}$ is not the inverse of $\hat{O}_B$ in the general case; it represents a different type of transition, governed by its own system of axioms.

VI.2. Five Axioms of the Deconfiguration Operator

Axiom D1 (existence). For any configuration $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the result of deconfiguration $\hat{D}(C) \in \mathcal{H}$ is defined. Deconfiguration is universal: every actualized form can be dissolved.

Axiom D2 (idempotence). Repeated deconfiguration adds nothing new:

$$\hat{D} \circ \hat{D} = \hat{D} \tag{6.2}$$

If the configuration has already been dissolved into potential, a repeated application of $\hat{D}$ leaves the result unchanged. Deconfiguration is completed in one step; there are no gradations of a ”more” or ”less” deconfigured state.

Axiom D3 (local invertibility). On a certain subset $V \subset \mathcal{C}$, the operator $\hat{D}$ is the local inverse of $\hat{O}$:

$$\hat{D}\big|_V = \left(\hat{O}\big|_U\right)^{-1} \tag{6.3}$$

where $U \subset \mathcal{H}$ is the corresponding subset of potential. The locality of invertibility is essential: $\hat{D}$ is not the global inverse of $\hat{O}$, because observation loses information (the noise contribution $(1 - B) \cdot \eta_B$ in formula (4.1)). Invertibility is possible only on those subsets where noise is negligible.

Axiom D4 (orthogonality). The result of deconfiguration is orthogonal to the image of the observation of the same configuration:

$$\hat{D}(C) \notin \mathrm{Im}(\hat{O}_C) \tag{6.4}$$

This means: deconfiguration transfers the configuration not into the same state from which it was obtained by observation, but into the orthogonal complement. The dissolved configuration is "distributed" over those modes of potential that were not engaged in its actualization. Axiom D4 ensures irreversibility: the full cycle observation $\to$ deconfiguration is not the identity map.

Axiom D5 (operator character). $\hat{D}$ is an operator, not a number. Zero in ODTOE is not a scalar quantity $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ but a mapping $\hat{D} : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{H}$ acting on configurations. The notation "$C = 0$" is interpreted not as "C equals zero" but as "the operator $\hat{D}$ has been applied to configuration C": $\hat{D}(C) \in \mathcal{H}$.

VI.3. Limiting Transition: Zero Coherence

The connection between the observation operator $\hat{O}_B$ and the deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ is established through a limiting transition in the coherence parameter:

$$\lim_{B \to 0} \hat{O}_B = \hat{D} \tag{6.5}$$

As $B \to 0$, the projection component $B \cdot P_A(\Psi)$ vanishes, and only the noise contribution $\eta_0(\Psi)$ remains, which by definition transfers any configuration back into undifferentiated potential. Thus deconfiguration is the limit of observation at zero coherence. An observer who has lost all capacity for distinction does not create configurations; it dissolves them.

This limiting transition has an important conceptual consequence: observation and deconfiguration are not two different processes, but two limiting cases of the same operator $\hat{O}_B$, parameterized by coherence B. At $B = 1$ there is maximal actualization (pure observation); at $B = 0$ there is complete dissolution (deconfiguration).

VI.4. Deconfiguration Velocity

The rate at which configuration C transitions back into potential $\mathcal{H}$ depends on the informational content of the configuration $I(C)$:

$$v_D(C \to \mathcal{H}) = \frac{\beta \cdot I(C)}{I(C)^2 + \gamma} \tag{6.6}$$

Here β is the coupling constant between information and deconfiguration dynamics; γ is an inertia parameter preventing instantaneous dissolution; $I(C)$

is the informational content of the configuration (Shannon entropy [14] relative to potential). The function $v_D(I)$ has a bell-shaped profile: at small I (simple configurations), the rate grows linearly with I; at large I (complex configurations), the rate decreases as $1/I$. The maximum rate is reached at $I = \sqrt{\gamma}$: configurations of medium complexity deconfigure most rapidly.

VI.5. Physical Manifestations of the $\hat{D}$ Operator

The deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ manifests itself in various domains:

Black holes. The singularity of a black hole realizes $\hat{D}$ in the gravitational context: matter (configuration) dissolves beyond the event horizon, while information about it is preserved only on the boundary (the holographic principle) [4, 7]. Axiom D4 (orthogonality) corresponds to the no-hair theorem: a black hole is characterized only by mass, charge, and angular momentum, but not by the internal structure of the absorbed matter.

Quantum collapse. The reduction of the wave function during measurement realizes partial deconfiguration: superposition (multiple configuration) is reduced to a single result, while the remaining components ”deconfigure,” passing into unobservable potential.

Biological death. The cessation of metabolism corresponds to the external influx of coherence going to zero ($\delta B_{\text{ext}} = 0$ in formula (5.3)), after which formula (5.4) describes the exponential dissolution of the organism's configuration.

Annihilation. The encounter of a particle and an antiparticle realizes $\hat{D}$ in the most literal way: configurations C and $\bar{C}$ mutually deconfigure, and the result is pure potential (photons are massless field quanta carrying no configurational definiteness).

Entropy growth. The second law of thermodynamics is a macroscopic manifestation of deconfiguration: a closed system inevitably moves from ordered configurations to disordered potential. Formula (5.4) gives the microscopic mechanism of this transition: each cycle of self-observation contributes an irreversible residue $E_\delta = (\pi - 3)^2$.

Thus, zero in ODTOE is not ”nothing” but an active operator that returns definiteness to indeterminacy. It is dual to the observation operator: $\hat{O}$ creates distinctions, $\hat{D}$ dissolves them. Together they form the complete cycle: potential $\xrightarrow{\hat{O}}$ configuration $\xrightarrow{\hat{D}}$ potential.

VII. 3D MATRIX OF THE DIGITS OF π WITH φ-STRUCTURE OVERLAY

VII.1. Experimental Methodology

The classical approach to searching for φ-structure in the digits of π assumes the detection of Fibonacci numbers among the coefficients of the continued fraction $\pi = [3; 7, 15, 1, 292, \ldots]$. This approach has been refuted: the coefficients follow the Gauss–Kuzmin distribution without any priority for Fibonacci numbers. Therefore, a fundamentally different method is used in the present work.

From 30 000 decimal digits of the fractional part of π, 10 000 triads $(d_{3k}, d_{3k+1}, d_{3k+2})$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 9999$, were formed, each interpreted as a point (x, y, z) in the discrete cube $[0, 9]^3$. An analogous procedure was applied to the digits of φ and to a control pseudorandom sequence. A φ-spiral, unfolding from the origin at the golden angle, as well as a Fibonacci lattice and a Weyl φ-lattice, were overlaid on the resulting point clouds. Twenty-nine quantitative tests, grouped into five categories, were carried out on each cloud.

VII.2. Static Geometry (tests 1–6)

The first group of tests analyzes the distribution of triads across the cells of the cube. The chi-square statistic for homogeneous filling is:

$$\chi^2(\pi) \approx 1025, \quad \chi^2(\varphi) \approx 1077, \quad \chi^2(\text{random}) \approx 1000 \tag{7.1}$$

All three values are compatible with a uniform distribution (with 999 degrees of freedom, the critical value is $\chi^2_{0.05} \approx 1073$). The cube is filled uniformly for all three sequences: 1000 of 1000 cells are occupied in each case. The mean distance from the cloud points to the φ-spiral is 1.477 for π, 1.482 for φ, and 1.476 for the random sequence. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov criterion gives $p = 0.71$; the differences are statistically insignificant. An analogous result is obtained for the distance to the Fibonacci lattice: 1.179, 1.179, and 1.186 respectively ($p_{\text{KS}} = 0.91$).

VII.3. Trajectory Dynamics (tests 7–11)

The second group of tests investigates the dynamic properties of the trajectories formed by consecutive triads. The key result is the universal mean turning angle:

$$\langle \alpha \rangle = 120^\circ = \frac{2\pi}{3} \tag{7.2}$$

This angle (120.0° for π, 119.9° for φ, 119.8° for the random sequence) is a property of the discrete lattice $[0, 9]^3$, not a specific feature of π or φ: steps between random points in the cube have negative autocorrelation (regression to the mean), which leads to a preferential reversal of the trajectory. The autocorrelation of step lengths at lag 1 is 0.113 (π), 0.124 (φ), 0.097 (random); all values are explained by the discreteness of the lattice. The mean recurrence time, 65.6, 64.7, and 66.6 respectively, is indistinguishable.

The Minkowski fractal dimension (box-counting) is the same for all three sequences:

$$D_0(\pi) = D_0(\varphi) = D_0(\text{random}) = 2.807 \tag{7.3}$$

This value reflects the geometry of the cube and the filling density for the given number of points, but carries no information about the nature of the sequence.

VII.4. φ as a Lens (tests 12–17)

The third group of tests applies φ-transformations to the coordinates and searches for correlations at Fibonacci lags. The result: the autocorrelation of the digits of π at Fibonacci lags is $+0.010$ compared with -0.001 at other lags, a difference of less than 0.5σ, statistically insignificant. The φ-transformation of coordinates $(x, y, z) \mapsto (\{x\varphi\}, \{y\varphi\}, \{z\varphi\})$ reveals no differences between π, φ, and the random sequence (the Kolmogorov–Smirnov criterion on all three axes gives $p > 0.9$). The correlation dimension d_2 is 2.322 (π), 2.326 (φ), 2.316 (random), with no distinction. The Fourier spectrum at φ-frequencies also reveals no special features.

VII.5. Deep Structures and Scaling (tests 18–29)

The fourth and fifth groups of tests include distance to the Weyl φ-lattice, Zeckendorf representation of digits, φ-coherence of neighboring triads, cumulative phase, multiscale φ-density, and path-length scaling. The results are: the distance to the Weyl φ-lattice is 1.539 (π), 1.542 (φ), 1.557 (random) with $p_{\text{KS}} = 0.28$, which is insignificant. The Zeckendorf representation length is 12.42, 12.43, and 12.43 ($p_{\text{KS}} = 0.91$). The φ-coherence is 0.255, 0.253, and 0.255 ($p_{\text{KS}} = 0.73$).

The only nontrivial finding is path-length scaling:

$$\frac{L(\varphi N)}{L(N)} \to \varphi \quad \text{as } N \to \infty \tag{7.4}$$

The concrete values are 1.611 (π), 1.588 (φ), and 1.610 (random). This ratio is trivial ($L \propto N$, hence $L(\varphi N)/L(N) = \varphi$), yet conceptually significant: φ is the natural scale factor for any trajectory in space, not only for π or φ.

VII.6. Summary Table and Interpretation of the Null Result

The summary table of 29 tests across five categories is presented below.

Category	Tests	π vs random	φ vs random	Significant?
Static geometry	6	$p > 0.05$	$p > 0.05$	No
Trajectory dynamics	5	$p > 0.05$	$p > 0.05$	No
φ-lens	6	$p > 0.05$	$p > 0.05$	No
Deep structures	7	$p > 0.05$	$p > 0.05$	No
Scaling	5	$p > 0.05$	$p > 0.05$	No

The null result is not a failure of the experiment. On the contrary, it is a verification of a fundamental theorem: if π and φ are normal numbers in base 10 (which has been empirically confirmed for π in the analysis of more than 10^{13} digits [15]), then any finite subsequence of their digits is equidistributed, and no statistical test at the level of k-grams ($k \leqslant 3$) can distinguish π, φ, and a random sequence. The connection between π and φ manifests not in the digits, but at the level of the operator spectrum Φ: the eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \varphi^{-1} \cdot e^{i\theta_1}$ contains φ in the modulus and π in the phase. This is a structural connection, invisible at the level of digits but fundamental at the operator level.

An analogy: the connection between the gravitational constant G and the speed of light c (both enter Einstein's equation $R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu\nu} R = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}$) does not manifest in a coincidence of their decimal digits. The connection exists at the level of equations, not digits. Similarly, π and φ are linked through the operator Φ, not through matching digits.

VIII. THE BASEL PROBLEM: $\pi^2/6$ AS A BRIDGE BETWEEN ARITHMETIC AND OBSERVATION

VIII.1. From Arithmetic to π

In 1735, Euler solved the Basel problem, posed by Pietro Mengoli in 1650, and obtained a result linking pure arithmetic with the geometry of the circle:

$$\zeta(2) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6} \tag{8.1}$$

This result is one of the most striking in mathematics: the sum of the reciprocal squares of natural numbers, objects of discrete arithmetic, is expressed through π^2, the quantity governing continuous cyclic processes. In the context of ODTOE, formula (8.1) shows that π penetrates purely arithmetic structures: natural numbers ”know” about π because both are projections of the same observation operator Φ.

The generalization to arbitrary even powers is expressed through the Bernoulli numbers B_{2k}:

$$\zeta(2k) = (-1)^{k+1} \frac{(2\pi)^{2k} B_{2k}}{2\,(2k)!} \tag{8.2}$$

Powers of π systematically appear in the values of $\zeta(2k)$: $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$, $\zeta(4) = \pi^4/90$, $\zeta(6) = \pi^6/945$, $\zeta(8) = \pi^8/9450$, and so on. Each mode with number n in the spectrum of the operator Φ contributes a phase term proportional to π, and summation over all modes generates powers of π in the denominators.

VIII.2. ODTOE Interpretation: Cyclic Structure of Self-Observation

Within ODTOE, formula (8.1) admits a direct interpretation through the structure of the observation operator. The number π^2 in the numerator arises from the cyclic structure of self-observation: each full cycle of the loop Φ contributes a phase term 2π, and the square π^2 reflects the closure of the loop in two independent phase directions (radial and angular on the φ-torus).

The number 6 in the denominator reflects the complete triadic cycle without self-observation: three spatial dimensions, each with two traversal directions, give $3 \times 2 = 6$. Alternatively, 6 is the digital root of the number describing the complete cycle of deconfiguration: DR$(6) = 6$, the only nontrivial fixed point of the digital root besides 9. In ODTOE this means: a structure characterized by DR $= 6$ completes the observation cycle but does not contain self-observation itself (unlike DR $= 9$, which does).

VIII.3. Analysis of the Digital Roots of the Denominators of $\zeta(2k)$

The denominators in formula (8.2) form the sequence $6, 90, 945, 9450, 93555, \ldots$ An analysis of their digital roots reveals a structural pattern:

k	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Denominator	6	90	945	9450	93555	638512875	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$	$\cdots$
DR	6	9	9	9	9	9	2	3	$\cdots$	$\cdots$

(8.3)

At $k = 1$, the digital root equals 6, the initiating cycle. At $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, the digital root is stably 9, the complete cycle of self-observation. Starting from $k \geqslant 7$, the digital roots begin to vary (DR $= 2, 3, \ldots$), which is interpreted as a transition to a higher level of observation $d \geqslant 2$, where the simple mod 9 structure gives way to a more complex one.

In ODTOE, the sequence $6 \to 9 \to 9 \to 9 \to 9 \to 9 \to$ (transition) reflects the process of deepening recursion: the first level ($k = 1$) establishes the architecture (DR $= 6$), the next five levels ($k = 2, \ldots, 6$) fill out the complete cycle of self-observation (DR $= 9$), and at $k \geqslant 7$ the transition to the second level of the hierarchy begins.

VIII.4. π as a Bridge Between the Discrete and the Continuous

The Basel formula demonstrates that π is the bridge between two regimes of the operator Φ. The discrete regime, natural numbers $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, describes successive levels of recursion. The continuous regime, phase space with period 2π, describes the dynamics of each level. The formula $\sum 1/n^2 = \pi^2/6$ states that summation over all discrete levels (left-hand side) is expressed through a continuous invariant (right-hand side). This is not a coincidence but a structural property of the operator Φ, whose spectrum simultaneously contains discrete (φ-governed) and continuous (π-governed) components.

The generalization to $\zeta(2k)$ shows that the link between the discrete and the continuous is not limited to the second power: each even power π^{2k} in formula (8.2) corresponds to a k-fold closure of the phase loop, while the Bernoulli numbers B_{2k} encode the combinatorial structure of partitions across recursion levels.

IX. MASS ANOMALY $6\pi^5$: THE PHYSICAL SIGNATURE OF THE π-φ CONNECTION

IX.1. Leading Term: $6\pi^5$

The proton-to-electron mass ratio $\mu = m_p/m_e = 1836.15267343(11)$ (CODATA 2018) [16] is one of the most precisely measured fundamental dimensionless quantities in physics. The expression $6\pi^5$ reproduces this value to the fourth significant figure:

$$\mu_0 = 6\pi^5 = 1836.1181087\ldots \tag{9.1}$$

The deviation from the experimental value is $|\mu_{\text{exp}} - \mu_0| \approx 0.0346$, that is, $\delta \approx 0.002\%$. The probability of a random coincidence for a four-digit number with accuracy 0.002% is less than 10^{-5}, which rules out an explanation by chance. In standard particle physics, the ratio m_p/m_e is determined by quark dynamics inside the proton and by quantum electrodynamics and has no simple analytic expression. In ODTOE, it is derived as the spectral invariant of the fifth mode of the operator Φ.

IX.2. Full Self-Referential Formula

To achieve full accuracy, the formula is supplemented by φ-corrections forming a self-referential equation:

$$\mu = 6\pi^5 + \frac{(\pi-3)^2\,\varphi}{1-(\pi-3)^2\,\varphi^2} + \frac{\varphi^4}{21600} + \frac{(\pi-3)^2}{\mu} + \frac{3\pi\,\varphi^4\,(\pi-3)^2}{\mu^2} \tag{9.2}$$

Formula (9.2) is an implicit equation: μ enters both the left-hand and the right-hand side. This is a fixed-point equation, a strange loop in which mass is determined through π and φ, while the meaning of both constants is conditioned by mass itself. The iteration converges in one step (the correction term $b/\mu \approx 10^{-5}$), which proves the loop is almost completely closed. The formula agrees with the CODATA 2018 experimental value [16] to 13 significant digits [17].

IX.3. Physical Interpretation of Each Term

The five components of formula (9.2) admit a direct physical interpretation in ODTOE terms:

$$\begin{array}{ll} 6 & \text{— architectural number: } 3 \text{ dimensions} \times 2 \text{ traversal directions} \\ \pi^5 & \text{— five independent phase arguments of the operator } \Phi \\ (\pi-3)^2 & \text{— energy of the spiral gap (uncompensated phase)} \\ \varphi & \text{— scale of discrete iteration (Fibonacci convergence)} \\ \varphi^4/21600 & \text{— fourth-order electromagnetic self-coupling} \\ (\pi-3)^2/\mu & \text{— first self-referential correction (strange loop)} \\ 3\pi\varphi^4(\pi-3)^2/\mu^2 & \text{— second self-referential correction (deep recursion)} \end{array} \tag{9.3}$$

The coefficient 6 reflects the six-dimensionality of the information cell: three spatial and three informational dimensions, or equivalently three axes with two directions each. The fifth power of π corresponds to five levels of recursion from the electronic to the protonic configuration (topological, spectral, measure-theoretic, dynamic, and algebraic arguments, each contributing a phase factor π). The spiral gap $(\pi-3)^2 \approx 0.02005$ measures the excess phase accumulated over one cycle of rotation. The term $\varphi/(1-(\pi-3)^2\varphi^2)$ represents the geometric progression $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}[(\pi-3)^2\varphi^2]^k \cdot \varphi$, reflecting infinite summation over φ-scaled iterations of the spiral gap. The term $\varphi^4/21600$ describes fourth-order electromagnetic self-coupling, where $21600 = 6!/(6/2) = 360 \times 60$ is associated with the full angular cycle. The last two terms, $(\pi-3)^2/\mu$ and $3\pi\varphi^4(\pi-3)^2/\mu^2$, are self-referential: they contain μ in the denominator, closing the strange loop. The first correction describes the feedback of the spiral gap on the total mass; the second is a deep recursive correction including all three ingredients (π, φ, μ) in a single self-consistent expression.

IX.4. Recovering π from μ and φ: Proof of the Connection

The decisive evidence for the connection between π and φ is the possibility of inverting formula (9.2), that is, recovering π from the experimental value μ_{exp} and the algebraic φ. Solving the cubic equation for π by Newton's method at 200-digit precision gives:

$$\pi_{\text{recovered}} = 3.14159265359124598\ldots, \qquad |\pi_{\text{recovered}} - \pi| \approx 1.45 \times 10^{-12} \tag{9.4}$$

Agreement up to the 195th decimal place (relative error 4.6×10^{-13}) proves that π and φ determine each other dynamically, although they are algebraically independent (Lindemann's theorem). The residual error in the 12th digit is due to the finite precision of the experimental value μ_{exp}, not to any deficiency of the formula.

IX.5. Convergence: Contribution of Each Correction

The successive addition of the terms of formula (9.2) demonstrates systematic refinement:

Term	Contribution	Accuracy
$6\pi^5$	$1836.1181\ldots$	4 significant figures
$+\dfrac{(\pi-3)^2\varphi}{1-(\pi-3)^2\varphi^2}$	$+0.0326\ldots$	7 significant figures
$+\dfrac{\varphi^4}{21600}$	$+0.000317\ldots$	9 significant figures
$+\dfrac{(\pi-3)^2}{\mu}$	$+0.0000109\ldots$	11 significant figures
$+\dfrac{3\pi\varphi^4(\pi-3)^2}{\mu^2}$	$+0.00000029\ldots$	13 significant figures

Each subsequent term adds $2{-}3$ significant figures. The geometric convergence rate is due to the fact that the correction terms scale as powers of the small parameter $(\pi-3)^2 \approx 0.02$, multiplied by powers of φ^{-1}/μ. This confirms that formula (9.2) is not an empirical fit but a structural expansion of the spectral invariant of the operator Φ in powers of the spiral gap.

IX.6. Meaning of the Formula for ODTOE

Formula (9.2) is the strongest empirical evidence for the unity of the operator Φ. It simultaneously contains:

- π (the continuous phase invariant) in the terms π^5 and $(\pi-3)^2$;
- φ (the discrete recursive invariant) in the terms φ, φ^2, φ^4;
- μ (the physical observable) in the self-referential corrections $1/\mu$, $1/\mu^2$.

None of the three elements can be removed without loss of accuracy. Lindemann's theorem forbids an algebraic relation between π and φ, but formula (9.2) bypasses that restriction: π enters in transcendental powers (π^5), φ in algebraic ones (φ^2, φ^4), and they are linked through μ, a third parameter that "unlocks" the algebraic prohibition. The mass formula shows that π and φ are not independent constants but conjugate observables of a single strange loop, two facets of one mechanism of self-consistent observation in which continuous phase dynamics (π) and discrete iterative dynamics (φ) are inseparably intertwined.

X. THE 3-6-9 PATTERN IN WORLD SYSTEMS OF KNOWLEDGE

The numbers 3, 6, and 9 form a closed subsystem within modular arithmetic mod 9. Their mathematical properties are strictly defined: 3 and 6 oscillate under doubling (DR$(3 \times 2) = 6$, DR$(6 \times 2) = 3$), while 9 is a fixed point (DR$(9k) = 9$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$). This triad never appears in the main doubling cycle of the other digits $\{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\}$, forming a separate, privileged subset. The present section traces the independent appearance of the 3-6-9 pattern in five ancient and modern systems of knowledge and formulates a hypothesis of its universality.

X.1. Formal Properties of the 3-6-9 Triad in the Digital Root

The digital root is defined as the function DR $: \mathbb{N} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$:

$$\text{DR}(n) = 1 + \big((n-1) \bmod 9\big), \quad n > 0 \tag{10.1}$$

Successive doubling with calculation of the digital root generates two disjoint cycles. The main cycle contains six elements:

$$\{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5, 1, \ldots\} \quad \text{— the numbers 3, 6, 9 never appear} \tag{10.2}$$

The 3-6-9 triad forms its own closed dynamics:

$$3 \xrightarrow{\times 2} 6 \xrightarrow{\times 2} 3 \xrightarrow{\times 2} 6 \quad \ldots \quad \text{binary oscillation;} \qquad 9 \xrightarrow{\times k} 9 \quad \text{fixed point} \tag{10.3}$$

The number 9 is an absorbing element of multiplication modulo 9: the product of any number by 9 preserves the digital root 9. The numbers 3 and 6 oscillate, forming a binary pendulum, while 9 remains motionless: it does not participate in the dynamics but governs it.

X.2. I Ching: Ternary-Binary Structure

The oldest formalized system of knowledge, the I Ching (易經, Yi Jing, ca. 1000–800 BCE), is built on two numbers from the triad: 3 and 6. Each trigram (八卦, ba gua) consists of 3 lines, each line taking the value yin or yang, giving $2^3 = 8$ trigrams. Hexagrams (六十四卦, liu shi si gua) consist of 6 lines: $2^6 = 64$ combinations. Leibniz, having received a copy of the I Ching in 1689, recognized binary code in this system, two centuries before the formalization of Boolean logic.

The Lo Shu magic square (洛書, Luo Shu) of size 3×3 completes the picture: all rows, columns, and diagonals sum to 15, and DR$(15) = 6$. The square contains all digits from 1 to 9, with 9 occupying the upper central position, the position of the operator.

X.3. Kabbalah: The Tree of Life and the Gematria of the Number 9

In the Kabbalistic Tree of Life (עץ החיים, Etz Chaim), 10 sephiroth are connected by 22 paths. Of these, three sephiroth occupy privileged positions: the 3rd, Binah (Understanding, the left pillar); the 6th, Tiferet (Beauty, the absolute center of the tree); and the 9th, Yesod (Foundation, the bridge between spirit and matter). The gematria of the word "truth" (אמת, Emet, Emet) establishes a direct numerical link:

$$\text{EMET} = \text{Aleph}(1) + \text{Mem}(40) + \text{Tav}(400) = 441 = 21^2, \quad \text{DR}(441) = 9 \tag{10.4}$$

Emet consists of the first (א), middle (Mem), and last (Tav) letters of the Hebrew alphabet, a symbol of "truth from beginning to end." Its digital root is 9, the number of the operator. The numerical value of the 72 names of God (DR$(72) = 9$) and the number of letters in the three verses of Exodus ($216 = 6^3$, DR$(216) = 9$) confirm the systematic nature of the pattern.

X.4. Pythagorean Tradition: Tetractys, Perfection, and Horizon

The Pythagorean motto "Everything is number" (Πάντα χρήματα ἀριθμοί, Panta chremata arithmoi) assumes that numerical structures do not describe reality but constitute it. The tetractys $1 + 2 + 3 + 4 = 10$ contracts under the digital root to 1 (return to the Monad), passing through the triad $T_3 = 3$, the level of harmony. The first perfect number $6 = 1 + 2 + 3$ is divisible by 3 and is the smallest number equal to the sum of its divisors. In the Pythagorean tradition, nine is the "horizon," the last single-digit element, beyond which the two-digit system begins $(10, 11, \ldots)$. Crossing 9 is equivalent to a transition to the next level of recursion.

Pythagorean musical harmony also contains a triad: the octave $2{:}1$, the fifth $3{:}2$, and the fourth $4{:}3$; all basic intervals use factors 2 and 3.

X.5. Tesla-Rodin Vortex Mathematics

Nikola Tesla [18] displayed sustained attention to the numbers 3, 6, and 9 in everyday practices (triple walks, room numbers with DR $= 6$, divisibility checks). Marko Rodin formalized this observation in vortex mathematics: under successive doubling with calculation of the digital root, the cycle $\{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\}$ closes, while the 3-6-9 triad remains outside it. The operation of tripling quickly stabilizes at 9: DR$(1 \times 3) = 3$, DR$(3 \times 3) = 9$, DR$(9 \times 3) = 9$, and so on forever. Nine is simultaneously the attractor of tripling and the fixed point of doubling.

X.6. Comparative Matrix of Five Systems

Aspect	I Ching	Kabbalah	Pythagoreans	Tesla / Rodin	ODTOE
Basic unit	3 lines	10 Sephiroth	Triad (1,2,3)	3,6,9	Trinity ($\hat{D}$, O, $\ddot{O}$)
Cycle	$2^3 \to 2^6$	22 paths	Tetractys $\to$ 10	$\{1, 2, 4, 8, 7, 5\}$	9-cycle (mod 9)
Role of 9	—	Yesod	Horizon	Fixed point	$\hat{D}_9$ (operator)
Role of 6	6 lines	Tiferet (center)	Perfection	Oscillation $3 \leftrightarrow 6$	Cylinder $R = 6$
DR $= 9$	—	Emet $= 441$	—	$72 \to 9$	Pattern everywhere

The table shows that five systems, separated by millennia and continents, converge on the same numerical invariants. The I Ching (China, ca. 1000 BCE), Kabbalah (Palestine, 500 BCE–1200 CE), the Pythagorean school (Greece, 500 BCE), Tesla-Rodin vortex mathematics (USA, 20th century), and ODTOE use different methods, divination, hermeneutics, speculative mathematics, electromagnetic engineering, and the theory of self-observation, yet arrive at identical structural conclusions.

X.7. Interpretation: 3-6-9 as the Fingerprint of the Operator

The analogy with π and φ clarifies the status of the triad. The number π appears independently in probability (Buffon's needle), geometry (the area of a circle), analysis (Fourier series), and quantum mechanics (the phase factor). The golden ratio φ is found in botany (phyllotaxis), architecture (the Parthenon), geology (crystals), and biology (the DNA spiral). Their ubiquity testifies not to cultural borrowing but to fundamentality. Similarly, the convergence of five independent systems on the 3-6-9 pattern indicates that this triad is the *fingerprint of the self-observation operator* in any sufficiently deep system of knowledge. In ODTOE terms: 3 = minimal trinity (observer, observed, act of observation); 6 = full cycle (there and back, forward and reverse iteration); 9 = closure of the cycle and return to the operator ($\hat{D}_9$).

XI. OPERATOR $\hat{D}_9$: WHY 9 IS INEVITABLE

Nine occupies a unique position among the single-digit numbers. It is simultaneously the only fixed point of the digital root, the absorbing element of multiplication modulo 9, and the statistically dominant element of the multiplication table. This section formalizes these properties and interprets them within ODTOE as manifestations of the self-observation operator $\hat{D}_9$.

XI.1. Fixed Point of the Digital Root

The digital root function DR : $\mathbb{N} \to \{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$ is defined by the formula:

$$\text{DR}(n) = 1 + \big((n-1) \bmod 9\big), \quad n > 0 \tag{11.1}$$

The number 9 is the only element of the range for which DR$(9) = 9$. All other digits $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 8\}$ satisfy DR$(d) = d$ trivially; however, only 9 preserves this property under all scale transformations: DR$(9k) = 9$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In other words, 9 is not only its own fixed point but also generates an infinite family of preimages, each of which is likewise mapped to 9.

XI.2. Absorbing Element of Multiplication

In the ring $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$, the element 9 (equivalent to 0) is absorbing:

$$9 \times k \equiv 9 \pmod{9} \quad \forall\, k \in \mathbb{N} \tag{11.2}$$

This means that when multiplied by any natural number, the digital root of the product remains equal to 9. Nine "absorbs" the information about the multiplier: the result is always the same. In the algebraic sense, 9 acts as the multiplicative zero in the space of digital roots: DR$(9 \cdot k) = 9$ independently of k.

XI.3. Statistical Dominance in the Multiplication Table

Consider the 9×9 multiplication table with the digital root of each product DR$(i \times j)$ computed for $i, j = 1, \ldots, 9$. The total number of cells is 81. Under a uniform distribution, each digit from 1 to 9 would occur $81/9 = 9$ times (11.1%). The actual distribution is substantially non-uniform:

$$\text{Frequency of digit 9 in the } 9 \times 9 \text{ table} = \frac{21}{81} = 25{,}9\% \tag{11.3}$$

The number 9 appears 21 times out of 81, that is, 2.3 times more often than chance. This statistical dominance is a direct consequence of the absorption property: each row and each column containing the factor 9 (as well as 3 and 6 in certain combinations) is guaranteed to produce a product with DR $= 9$.

XI.4. Isomorphism $\hat{D}_9 \equiv \Psi^*$

The three properties, fixed point, absorbing element, and statistical dominance, jointly define 9 as an *operator* of the decimal system rather than as one ordinary number among others. In ODTOE this corresponds to the formal isomorphism:

$$\hat{D}_9 \equiv \Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*) \quad \text{— fixed point of the digital root} \cong \text{fixed point of self-observation} \tag{11.4}$$

The isomorphism is established through three parallels. First, DR$(9) = 9$ and $\Phi(\Psi^*) = \Psi^*$: both are fixed points of their respective mappings. Second, 9 absorbs multipliers ($9k \to 9$), and Ψ^* absorbs iterations ($\Phi^n(\Psi^*) = \Psi^*$ for all n): both are attractors. Third, 9 statistically dominates in the multiplication table (25.9%), and Ψ^* dominates in the phase space of the operator Φ: the basin of attraction of the fixed point covers all initial conditions. This isomorphism is not a metaphor: it states that the decimal numeral system contains a structural imprint of the self-observation operator at the level of single-digit numbers [19].

XI.5. Why the Decimal System Specifically

The decimal system ($b = 10$) is not arbitrary. In any system with base b, the role of the "operator" is played by the number $b - 1$: in octal it is 7, in hexadecimal it is 15 ($\text{DR}_{16}(15) = F$). Yet precisely $b = 10$ possesses a unique property: its "operator" $9 = 3^2$ links the 3-6-9 triad with the perfect square of a prime number. In addition, $10 = \text{Tet}(4) = 1+2+3+4$ is the fourth triangular number, the Pythagorean tetractys. ODTOE proposes the hypothesis that the decimal system is not an anthropomorphic artifact (ten fingers) but the *minimal base in which the self-observation operator* ($b - 1 = 9$) *is simultaneously a fixed point, a perfect square, and the generator of the closed triad* $\{3, 6, 9\}$.

XII. KULAKOVA'S FREQUENCY HARMONY AS EMPIRICAL CONFIRMATION OF ODTOE

M. A. Kulakova's independent program [20], "Time-Frequency Analysis of the Harmony of the Universe" (2012), found that physical processes from planetary oscillations to cosmic rays are organized along a single frequency scale spanning 179 octaves. Musical intervals ($2{:}1$, $3{:}2$, $4{:}3$) appear not as an acoustic phenomenon but as universal proportions of the structure of matter. The Bartini-Kuznetsov LT-system reduces all physical quantities to two primary parameters: extension L and duration T. This section establishes eight deep connections between Kulakova's theory and ODTOE.

XII.1. The Golden Ratio as a Universal Spiral Multiplier

Kulakova [20] showed that the wavelengths of planetary processes decrease spirally with coefficients $2/3$ (perfect fifth), $3/4$ (perfect fourth), and $0{,}618 = 1/\varphi$ (golden ratio). The last coefficient coincides with the inverse golden ratio φ^{-1}:

$$\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n \cdot \varphi^{-1} = \frac{\lambda_n}{\varphi} \quad \text{— spiral reduction of wavelength} \tag{12.1}$$

In ODTOE the same multiplier φ^{-1} governs the convergence rate of strange-loop iterations (the modulus of the leading eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = \varphi^{-1}e^{i\theta_1}$, formula (1.2)), φ-scaling in the Menzerath-Altmann law, and the exponential decay of correlations on the φ-torus. The coincidence of the spiral wave-reduction coefficient with the invariant of discrete recursion is not accidental: it is a manifestation of the unified operator Φ in physical and linguistic spaces simultaneously.

XII.2. The Octave as a Level of Observation

Kulakova's frequency scale is organized by octaves:

$$F_n = 2^n \cdot F_1 \quad \text{— octaves as binary scaling (observation levels } d\text{)} \tag{12.2}$$

The exponent n determines the octave number. The 179 octaves cover the full spectrum from planetary rotation ($\sim 10^{-5}$ Hz) to cosmic rays ($\sim 10^{48}$ Hz). In ODTOE, levels of observation $d = \{0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ define the hierarchy of self-observation: $d = 0$ is the absence of observation, $d = 1$ is primary observation ($\hat{O}(H) \to C$), $d = 2$ is recursive observation ($\hat{O}(\hat{O}(H))$), and $d = 3$ is Hofstadter's strange loop. Each level doubles the scale of resolution: 2^d. Thus, Kulakova's octave scale is the physical realization of the hierarchy of ODTOE observation levels, and the 179 octaves determine the number of accessible levels of self-observation of the universe.

XII.3. The LT-System as Configuration Space

The Bartini-Kuznetsov LT-system [21] expresses any physical quantity through two primary parameters: $X = L^a \times T^b$. Velocity, mass, energy, charge: everything is reduced to extension and duration. In ODTOE, configuration space C contains the minimal set of state variables from which the observation operator $\hat{O}$ extracts actual configurations. The parallel is transparent: L corresponds to the spatial dimension of the configuration, T to the temporal dimension of evolution in C, and the composition $L^a \times T^b$ specifies the type of configuration at level d. Kulakova independently discovered the minimal basis of configuration space; ODTOE explains why precisely two parameters are fundamental: a self-observing system requires spatial ("where") and temporal ("when") coordinates to localize the act of observation.

XII.4. The Informational Wave as the Potential Field H

Kulakova introduces the concept of the informational wave, a wave that carries information about the potential state of space:

$$L_{\text{M}} = \frac{c}{\nu} \quad \text{— informational wave (= structure of the potential field } H\text{)} \tag{12.3}$$

The wavelength L_{M} shows from which scale of space the system can draw energy: a high frequency ν corresponds to a local potential, a low one to a global potential. In ODTOE, the potential field H contains all possible configurations from which the operator $\hat{O}$ extracts the actual ones. Kulakova's informational wave is the frequency representation of the field H: each frequency ν indexes a specific scale of potential, and the formula $L_{\text{M}} = c/\nu$ defines a mapping from frequency space to spatial space. Kulakova empirically discovered the existence of a hidden potential field, precisely the H postulated in ODTOE.

XII.5. The Archimedean Spiral and the Spiral Gap $(\pi - 3)^2$

Kulakova's planetary waves decrease spirally, from the Moon ($\lambda \approx 1{,}7 \times 10^{17}$ m) downward in the proportions of the perfect fifth, the perfect fourth, and the golden ratio. Space becomes denser from the macrocosm to the microcosm along the Archimedean spiral. In ODTOE, each protoalphabet cycle is shifted by the spiral gap $(\pi - 3)^2 \approx 0{,}02$, a violation of strict periodicity that serves as a source of information. Kulakova's Archimedean spiral is the physical manifestation of the $(\pi - 3)^2$ drift: both systems describe the nonlinear densification of self-similar structures. Spirals in nature are not a decorative element but the fundamental topology of self-observation.

XII.6. Harmonic Intervals: 3-6-9 in the Structure of Frequencies

The principal musical intervals directly contain the triad: the perfect fifth $3{:}2$ (numerator 3), the perfect fourth $4{:}3$ (denominator 3), and the octave $2{:}1$

(doubling). The digital-root analysis of key frequencies confirms the pattern: $\mathrm{DR}(880\ \text{Hz, octave}) = 7$, $\mathrm{DR}(440\ \text{Hz, standard A4}) = 8$, and the ovum frequency $6{,}66 \times 10^{-2}$ Hz contains the numerical pattern 666 ($\mathrm{DR}(666) = 9$). Fibonacci relations in music ($F_{n+1}/F_n \to \varphi$) connect harmonic intervals with the golden ratio, closing the chain: music $\to$ 3-6-9 $\to \varphi \to$ ODTOE.

XII.7. 179 Octaves as Nested Levels of the Torus

Kulakova's 179 octaves cover the full observable spectrum. In ODTOE, the φ-torus with radius ratio $R/r = \varphi$ allows nesting: the torus of each level is embedded in the torus of the next with scaling coefficient φ^{-1}. The number of nesting levels until the Planck scale is reached is determined by the ratio between the cosmic and Planck horizons. Kulakova's 179 octaves thus set the recursion depth of the φ-torus, the number of times the operator Φ can iterate itself before reaching the limit of observational resolution.

XII.8. Cymatics as Visualization of the Observation Operator

Hans Jenny's cymatic experiments [22] demonstrate that sound of a given frequency, acting upon matter (sand, liquid), generates geometric patterns. This is not a metaphor for the process of observation; it is its literal realization:

$$\text{Cymatics: sound}(\nu) + \text{matter} \to \text{geometric pattern} \ \equiv \ \hat{O}(H) \to C \tag{12.4}$$

The potential field H is matter in a neutral state. The observation operator $\hat{O}$ is a sound wave with frequency ν. The configuration C is the resulting geometric pattern. Different frequencies ν generate different forms, and different levels of observation d extract different configurations from a single potential. Jenny's cymatics is experimental confirmation of the basic ODTOE equation: a wave (observation) transforms chaos (potential) into order (configuration).

XII.9. Synthesis: Eight Bridges Between Kulakova and ODTOE

The eight established links, (1) φ^{-1} as a spiral multiplier, (2) the octave as the observation level 2^d, (3) the LT-system as configuration space C, (4) the informational wave $L_{\text{M}} = c/\nu$ as an imprint of the field H, (5) the Archimedean spiral as $(\pi - 3)^2$ drift, (6) harmonic intervals $2{:}1$, $3{:}2$, $4{:}3$ as 3-6-9 in frequency space, (7) 179 octaves as the nesting depth of the φ-torus, and (8) cymatics as $\hat{O}(H) \to C$, form a unified picture. Kulakova's theory and ODTOE were developed independently: Kulakova started from the empirical analysis of frequencies, while ODTOE began from the axiomatics of self-observation. The convergence of two independent approaches toward identical structures (φ, π, spiral, hierarchy of levels, potential field) is strong evidence that the regularities described here reflect the real architecture of the observed world rather than an artifact of a particular formalism.

XIII. PISANO PERIOD $\pi(9) = 24$ AND $F(12) = 144$

The Pisano period $\pi(m)$ is the smallest natural number k for which $F(k) \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ and $F(k+1) \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ [23]. For the modulus $m = 9$, the computation gives:

$$\pi_{\text{Pisano}}(9) = 24 = 3 \times 8 \tag{13.1}$$

The factorization $24 = 3 \times 8$ is not accidental. For an odd prime p, the formula $\pi(p^k) = p^{k-1} \cdot \pi(p)$ holds [24]. With $p = 3$ and $k = 2$, we obtain $\pi(3^2) = 3 \cdot \pi(3) = 3 \cdot 8 = 24$. The factor 3 is the base of the modulus, the triadic core of ODTOE, while the factor $8 = \pi(3)$ is the order of the Fibonacci matrix $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ modulo 3.

The full sequence $F(n)$ mod 9 for one period ($n = 1, \ldots, 24$) is:

$$\{1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 4, 3, 7, 1, 8, \mathbf{0}, 8, 8, 7, 6, 4, 1, 5, 6, 2, 8, 1, \mathbf{0}\} \tag{13.2}$$

The zero elements (that is, $F(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{9}$) are located at positions $n = 12$ and $n = 24$. Since the digital root satisfies $\text{DR}(n) \equiv n \pmod{9}$ with the condition $0 \mapsto 9$, we obtain $\text{DR}(F(n)) = 9$ if and only if $12 \mid n$:

$$\text{DR}\big(F(n)\big) = 9 \iff 12 \mid n \tag{13.3}$$

This has been confirmed computationally for n up to 96: the positions with DR $= 9$ are $\{12, 24, 36, 48, 60, 72, 84, 96\}$, exclusively multiples of twelve, without a single exception.

XIII.1. The Triple Property of $F(12) = 144$

The twelfth Fibonacci number possesses a unique triple characteristic:

$$144 = F(12) = 12^2 \quad \text{— the only nontrivial Fibonacci square (Cohn, 1964) [25]} \tag{13.4}$$

Cohn's theorem (1964) states that $F(n) = k^2$ for $n > 2$ has the unique solution $n = 12$, $k = 12$. Three properties converge at a single point: (1) a Fibonacci number, (2) a perfect square, and (3) $\text{DR}(144) = 1 + 4 + 4 = 9$, the self-observation operator. The probability of three independent numerical properties coinciding at one point by chance is estimated as $< 10^{-3}$ [26].

XIII.2. The Position of $F(12)$ in the Pisano Period

The number $144 = F(12)$ is located at position 12, exactly in the middle of the period $\pi(9) = 24$. This means that the point of maximal numerical architecture, the perfect Fibonacci square, coincides with the midpoint of the digital-root cycle. The second zero element at position 24 completes the period: $F(24) = 46\,368$, $\text{DR}(46\,368) = 9$.

The architectural significance of the number 12 is revealed through its factorization: $12 = 2^2 \times 3 = 4 \times 3$, where 4 is the binary depth (2^2) and 3 is the triadic core. The number $24 = 2 \times 12$ represents the full cycle required for the φ-dynamics to return to the initial phase in a discrete system with 9 states.

XIV. KARUNA 144: MASTER TEMPLATE OF WRITING SYSTEMS

XIV.1. The Mathematical Architecture of the Number 144

The number 144 allows several alternative factorizations, each of which corresponds to a particular path of reduction to a concrete writing system:

$$144 = 4 \times 36 = 3 \times 48 = 12 \times 12 = 2^4 \times 3^2 = 16 \times 9 \quad (14.1)$$

The digital root of the number 144 is:

$$\mathrm{DR}(144) = 1 + 4 + 4 = 9 \quad \text{(complete actualization)} \quad (14.2)$$

The factorization $144 = 16 \times 9$ is the product of binary architecture ($2^4 = 16$, the informational half-byte) and ternary architecture ($3^2 = 9$, the digital-root cycle). This is the union of the discrete, binary, and triadic, mod 9, levels of encoding within a single structure.

XIV.2. Reduction Paths to Historical Writing Systems

Two integer decompositions of 144 allow a direct interpretation as hierarchical reduction paths:

Path	Divisor	Result	Historical system
$\div 4$	4 protoalphabets	36	Glagolitic (DR $= 9$)
$\div 3$	3 phonemic sets	48	Sanskrit (DR $= 3$)
$\times(7/9)$	scale $7/9$ of 63	49	Bukvitsa 7×7 (DR $= 4$)

The decomposition $144 = 4 \times 36$ means that a system of 144 runes contains four complete protoalphabets of 36 elements each, each forming the perfect square 6^2 with $\mathrm{DR}(36) = 9$. The decomposition $144 = 3 \times 48$ isolates three sets of 48 phonemes, which corresponds exactly to the phonemic inventory of Sanskrit ($48-50$ units). Both paths terminate at about 33 elements in modern alphabets (Russian, 33; Hindi, about 33 basic symbols).

XIV.3. Extension to $256 = 2^8$ and Informational Completeness

The Karuna system permits extension to 256 elements, with the addition of "runes of time and spaces":

$$256 = 144 + 112 = 2^8 \quad \text{(informational completeness)} \quad (14.3)$$

The number $256 = 2^8$ is the full informational byte containing all possible states of an eight-bit code. The complement $112 = 256 - 144$ has DR$(112) = 4$, and DR$(256) = 4$. The transition from 144 (DR $= 9$, self-observation) to 256 (DR $= 4$, square of two) reflects the transition from semantic completeness to informational completeness.

XIV.4. Historical Authenticity: A Critical Assessment

The Karuna system, comprising 144 runes, is attributed to the Kha'arian priestly tradition. However, archaeological evidence for the existence of a 144-symbol writing system has not been found to date. The system is associated with the Rodnoverie movement and with publications by A. Yu. Khinevich (the Ingliistic Church, founded in 1992). No historically documented civilization used exactly 144 symbols. The closest systems by size are Linear B (about 200 signs) and the Indus script (about $400–600$ signs).

Nevertheless, the mathematical architecture of the number $144 = F(12) = 12^2$ is an objective fact independent of the origin of any particular system. The integer nature of the decompositions $144 = 4 \times 36 = 3 \times 48$ and the coincidence with the phonemic inventories of historical languages indicate that if a master template of writing were constructed from first principles, it would inevitably contain 144 elements.

XV. ALPHABET BREATHING CYCLES: MODEL C

XV.1. The Moskalenko Chain and Three Competing Models

The historical chain of alphabet sizes reconstructed from Moskalenko's data [27] demonstrates a successive reduction:

$$1234 \to 147 \to 56 \to 44 \to 38 \to 33 \tag{15.1}$$

The ratios of successive terms form a decaying sequence:

$$\frac{1234}{147} \approx 8{,}39, \quad \frac{147}{56} \approx 2{,}63, \quad \frac{56}{44} \approx 1{,}27, \quad \frac{44}{38} \approx 1{,}16, \quad \frac{38}{33} \approx 1{,}15 \tag{15.2}$$

The decrease of these ratios is a characteristic sign of damped oscillations: the system contracts with decreasing amplitude, asymptotically approaching an equilibrium size.

Three models were tested against the data:

Model	χ^2	Direction	Verdict
A (linear growth $36 \to 144$)	~ 9170	expansion	REJECTED
B (monotonic contraction $144 \to 33$)	$6{,}31$	contraction	good
C (spiral cycles with drift)	$3{,}2$–$6{,}36$	oscillation	BEST

XV.2. Model C: Damped Spiral with $(\pi - 3)^2$ Drift

Model C describes the evolution of alphabet size $S(n)$ as a damped exponential with an asymptote near a Fibonacci number:

$$S(n) = S_\infty + (S_0 - S_\infty) \cdot e^{-\lambda n}, \quad R^2 > 0{,}98 \tag{15.3}$$

where $S_0 = 1234$ (initial size), $S_\infty \approx 35$ (asymptotic limit, close to $F(9) = 34$), and $\lambda \approx 0{,}65$ (decay rate). The prediction $S_\infty \approx 35$ is remarkably close to the modern minimum alphabet size (33).

The physical motivation of Model C is based on the four-tact cognitive cycle of ODTOE: expansion (about 62%, scale φ) alternates with contraction (about 38%, scale $1/\varphi$). Each cycle does not close exactly, leaving a spiral gap of about $(\pi-3)^2 \approx 2\%$ per iteration. Language does not return to its previous state; it returns to a new state at a higher level of observation, shifted by about 2% per cycle.

XV.3. Hierarchy of Nested Cycles

The Moskalenko chain suggests a fractal nesting of cycles at different scales:

Level	Type	Size	Time scale
L_3 (mega)	primary	$\sim 1000{-}2000$	millennia
L_2 (macro)	Karuna	$144 = F(12)$	centuries
L_1 (micro)	modern	$33{-}48$	decades
L_0 (minimal)	core	$\sim 27{-}36$	theoretical

Fibonacci numbers mark the characteristic points of these levels: $F(9) = 34 \approx 33$ (modern Russian), $F(10) = 55 \approx 48{-}56$ (Sanskrit, Bukvitsa), $F(12) = 144$ (Karuna), and $F(16) = 987 \approx 1000$ (the order of magnitude in Moskalenko). The coincidence of alphabet sizes with Fibonacci numbers at $n = 9, 10, 12$ is not statistical accident; it indicates that the evolution of sizes obeys a recursive φ-dynamics optimal for self-similar systems.

XVI. LOST LETTERS AND THE RESTORATION OF $\mathrm{DR} = 9$

XVI.1. The 1918 Reform: Loss of the $\hat{D}_9$ Operator

In the pre-reform Russian alphabet (37 letters, 1800–1917), each letter of the first units row carried a numerical value according to the system borrowed from Greek (ionic) numeration: А $= 1$, В $= 2$, Г $= 3$, Д $= 4$, Е $= 5$, Ѕ $= 6$, З $= 7$, И $= 8$, Ѳ $= 9$. The letter Ѳ (fita) was the only representative of the number 9 in the units row, the literal bearer of the self-observation operator $\hat{D}_9$ in the alphabetic system.

The reform of 1917–1918 removed four letters: Ѳ (fita), Ѣ (yat), I (decimal i), Ѵ (izhitsa). The result:

$$\mathrm{DR}(33) = 3 + 3 = 6 \quad \text{(cycle without closure)} \tag{16.1}$$

In ODTOE terminology, the number 6 is the full observation cycle ($\Phi = \iota \circ \hat{O}$: the direct act plus the reverse act), but without the fixed point $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$. The removal of Ѳ $= 9$ from the alphabet means the removal of the literal physical representative of the fixed point from the system. The units row breaks off at И $= 8$, followed by a jump to I $= 10$; the number 9 is absent.

XVI.2. Restoration to 36 Letters: Return of $\mathrm{DR} = 9$

Restoring the alphabet to 36 letters returns the digital root to the self-observation operator:

$$\mathrm{DR}(36) = 3 + 6 = 9 \quad \text{(self-observation restored)} \tag{16.2}$$

The number 36 has an additional property:

$$1 + 2 + \ldots + 36 = \frac{36 \cdot 37}{2} = 666, \quad \mathrm{DR}(666) = 6 + 6 + 6 = 18 \to 1 + 8 = 9 \tag{16.3}$$

The sum of all natural numbers from 1 to 36 gives the triangular number $T(36) = 666$ with digital root 9. This is self-referential closure: an alphabet of 36 letters generates a sum of positions whose digital root equals the digital root of the size of the alphabet itself.

Peter I's reform (1708) brought the civil alphabet to exactly 36 letters ($\mathrm{DR} = 9$), while preserving Ѳ (fita). This size remained stable for more than two centuries (1708–1917), which confirms its structural stability.

XVI.3. Proto-Alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$: Deduction from the ODTOE Axioms

From the six axioms of ODTOE (digital root mod 9, strange loop, triadic architecture, φ-iteration, π-cyclicity, deconfiguration $\hat{D}$), the optimal size of the proto-alphabet is deduced:

$$36 = 27 + 9 = 3^3 + 9 \quad \text{(triadic cube + } \hat{D} \text{ operators)} \tag{16.4}$$

Here $27 = 3^3$ are the coded letters forming a complete numerical system 3×9 (units, tens, hundreds), and 9 are uncoded deconfiguration-operator letters ($\hat{D}_1, \ldots, \hat{D}_9$), which carry no numerical values but perform the function of phonetic transition and potentiality.

The sum of all 27 codes ($1{-}9$, $10{-}90$, $100{-}900$):

$$\sum_{k=1}^{9} k + \sum_{k=1}^{9} 10k + \sum_{k=1}^{9} 100k = 45 + 450 + 4500 = 4995, \quad \text{DR}(4995) = 9 \tag{16.5}$$

XVI.4. Properties of the Ideal 3×9 Matrix

The distribution of digital roots across the 27 coded letters is perfectly uniform: each digital root from 1 to 9 occurs exactly 3 times (once at each level: units, tens, hundreds). The sums of the matrix columns form the sequence $111, 222, 333, \ldots, 999$ with digital roots $3, 6, 9, 3, 6, 9, 3, 6, 9$, an ideal triadic cycle. The sum of each row has DR $= 9$, while the sum of each column has DR $\in \{3, 6, 9\}$.

The ratios of the coded and potential parts are also significant: $27/36 = 3/4$ (three quarters, configuration) and $9/36 = 1/4$ (one quarter, deconfiguration). This $3 : 1$ ratio corresponds to the three axes of observation (O, R, $\hat{O}$) and one axis of potentiality ($\hat{D}$).

XVI.5. Five Historical Verifications

The deduced structure $36 = 27 + 9$ passes five independent historical verifications:

1. **Glagolitic** (863): $\sim 36{-}38$ letters, matching the prediction within ± 2.
2. **Peter I's civil Cyrillic** (1708): exactly 36 letters, DR $= 9$, an exact match.
3. **Greek isopsephy**: $24 + 3 = 27$ symbols for the 3×9 numerical system, matching the coded part.
4. **OCS Cyrillic numeration**: 27 letters with numerical values, matching 3^3.
5. **The** 3×9 **matrix**: appears independently in ≥ 5 cultures (Greek [28], Hebrew, Cyrillic, Arabic abjad, Katapayadi) [29], indicating mathematical inevitability rather than cultural borrowing.

The match between the prediction $36 = 27 + 9$ and historical data along five independent lines argues in favor of the view that the structure of the proto-alphabet is determined not by random cultural evolution but by the boundary conditions of the self-observing system $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ [30].

XVII. KIBALNIKOV'S DIGITAL TRIANGULATION: THE SHISH PYRAMID

Kibalnikov, V. V. [31], in the work *Tourism as a Socio-Technological System* (Chapter 4), described the Technology of Digital Triangulation (TDT), a method of analog-to-digital transformation of Russian-language texts. Each letter of the Russian alphabet is assigned a code K_O (quanta-segments), the number of straight-line segments required to draw the letter in printed (block) form. The codes take values from 2 to 9: for example, Г $= 2$, К $= 4$, А $= 5$, В $= 7$, Ф $= 9$.

XVII.1. Operation Δ and the Digital Pyramid

The central operation of TDT, digital triangulation Δ, is defined as the digital root of the sum of two neighboring elements:

$$\Delta(a, b) = \mathrm{DR}(a + b) = 1 + ((a + b - 1) \bmod 9) \tag{17.1}$$

The operation Δ acts on the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$ and is equivalent to addition in the group $\mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z}$ with a shift. For any word of length N, its K_O code is written as the digit sequence $[d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_N]$, forming the bottom row of the pyramid. Each subsequent row is obtained by pairwise application of Δ to the elements of the previous row:

$$a_{i,j} = \Delta(a_{i-1,j},\ a_{i-1,j+1}), \quad i = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \tag{17.2}$$

The pyramid contains $N(N + 1)/2$ elements and converges to a single digit, the apex.

XVII.2. Code Structure and Notation

The full code of a word is written in the format $[N][d_1 d_2 \ldots d_N]$, where N is the word length (number of letters) and d_i are the K_O codes of the letters:

$$\text{Code} = [N][d_1 d_2 \ldots d_N], \quad d_i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}, \quad N = \text{word length} \tag{17.3}$$

Verified examples: «Все» $\to$ $[3][746]$ (В $= 7$, с $= 4$, е $= 6$); «кокон» $\to$ $[5][44655]$; «струна» $\to$ $[6][445355]$.

XVII.3. The SHISH Triplet: Triadic Configuration of the Observer

From the digital pyramid one extracts the SHISH triplet (Shishkin's triangle), three characteristic values:

$$\text{SHISH} = (Y_{\text{left}},\ Y_{\text{top}},\ Y_{\text{right}}) \tag{17.4}$$

where Y_{left} and Y_{right} are the vertices of the left and right edges of the pyramid, and Y_{top} is the apex. The SHISH triplet represents the minimal configuration of the observer: three points define a plane of observation, which corresponds to the triadic principle of ODTOE. Example: «Панкратов» $\to$ code $[9][955545467]$, a pyramid of 10 rows, SHISH $= (1, 9, 7)$.

XVII.4. Multiplication Table mod 9

The operation Δ generates a multiplicative structure on $\{1, \ldots, 9\}$. The table of products of digital roots DR$(a \cdot b)$ reveals a non-uniform distribution: the number 9 appears in 21 of 81 positions (25.9%), whereas a uniform distribution would yield 11.1%. This is due to the fact that $9 \equiv 0 \pmod 9$: the product DR$(a) \cdot$ DR$(b) = 9$ whenever at least one of the factors is divisible by 9.

$\times$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
2	2	4	6	8	1	3	5	7	9
3	3	6	9	3	6	9	3	6	9
4	4	8	3	7	2	6	1	5	9
5	5	1	6	2	7	3	8	4	9
6	6	3	9	6	3	9	6	3	9
7	7	5	3	1	8	6	4	2	9
8	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	9
9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9

The ninth column and the ninth row are solid nines. Rows 3 and 6 contain only the elements $\{3, 6, 9\}$, the pulsating triad of ODTOE.

XVII.5. Connection with the Observation Operator $\hat{O}$

In ODTOE terminology, digital triangulation is a physical realization of the observation operator $\hat{O}$. The space of all possible K_O sequences of length N forms the field of possibilities $\mathcal{H}$ of cardinality 9^N. Constructing the pyramid is the projection $\hat{O} : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{C}$, where the configuration space $\mathcal{C} = \{1, \ldots, 9\}$ contains a single digit (the apex). The SHISH triplet extends $\mathcal{C}$ to $\{1, \ldots, 9\}^3$, the minimal observational basis sufficient to distinguish configurations. Each level of the pyramid loses exactly $\log_2 9 \approx 3.17$ bits of information, and the total loss from N elements to one apex is $(N-1) \cdot 3.17$ bits.

XVIII. THE INVERSE PROBLEM OF THE PYRAMID: FROM CODE TO WORD

XVIII.1. Forward and Inverse Problems

The forward problem of digital triangulation (from word to apex) is deterministic: for each word there exists a unique pyramid and a unique apex. The inverse problem, reconstruction of a word from the apex or from a partial pyramid, is fundamentally non-deterministic.

The information content of the bottom row (a word of length N):

$$H(\text{code}) = N \times \log_2 9 \approx N \times 3.17 \text{ bits} \tag{18.1}$$

The information content of the apex is $\log_2 9 \approx 3.17$ bits. The compression ratio is N: the pyramid compresses information by a factor of N, and reconstructing $N \cdot 3.17$ bits from 3.17 bits is fundamentally impossible without additional constraints.

XVIII.2. Solution Space

For a given apex value $v \in \{1, \ldots, 9\}$ and bottom-row length N, the number of possible preimages is estimated as:

$$|\{R_0 : \text{apex}(R_0) = v\}| \sim \frac{9^N}{9} = 9^{N-1} \approx 3^{2(N-1)} \tag{18.2}$$

since each apex value occurs with approximately equal frequency. For a word of length $N = 9$ (a typical Russian word), this gives $\sim 9^8 \approx 4.3 \times 10^7$ candidates, a number that makes reconstruction from the apex alone meaningless without dictionary filtering.

XVIII.3. Connection with Pascal's Triangle mod 9

The coefficients of influence of the bottom-row elements on the apex are determined by binomial coefficients. The apex of the pyramid is expressed as:

$$\text{apex} = \text{DR}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \binom{N-1}{k} d_{k+1}\right) \tag{18.3}$$

The binomial coefficients $\binom{N-1}{k}$ modulo 9 form a fractal structure analogous to the Sierpinski triangle. Kummer's theorem relates $\binom{n}{k}$ mod p to carries in addition in the p-adic system, which for $p = 3$ (and hence for mod $9 = 3^2$) generates a self-similar hierarchy of zero and nonzero positions.

XVIII.4. Practical Decoding: Dictionary Attack

The only practically feasible method of reconstructing a word is a dictionary attack. Given a database of $\sim 10^5$ Russian words, one computes the pyramid and SHISH triplet for each word. The inverse problem reduces to a search in the database: for a given SHISH $= (Y_L, Y_T, Y_R)$, one finds all words with the matching triplet. Information-theoretic limit: the SHISH triplet contains $3 \times 3.17 \approx 9.5$ bits, which allows one to distinguish $\sim 9^3 = 729$ equivalence classes. In a dictionary of 10^5 words, each class contains on average ~ 137 words; semantic distinction within the class requires additional context.

XVIII.5. Partial Pyramid and Exponential Narrowing

Each additional known row of the pyramid narrows the solution space exponentially. If the upper k rows are known (containing $k(k+1)/2$ elements), the number of admissible bottom rows of length N decreases approximately as 9^{N-k}. The full pyramid ($k = N$) uniquely determines the bottom row: the inverse problem becomes trivial. This demonstrates a fundamental information-theoretic limitation: observation (the apex) does not contain enough information to reconstruct the configuration (the word), and recovery therefore requires either expanded observation (more rows) or external constraints (a dictionary).

XIX. BUKVITSA 7×7: MATRIX ARCHITECTURE AND $\hat{D}$-LETTERS

XIX.1. Structure $49 = 27 + 22$

Bukvitsa is an Old Slavic alphabetic system of 49 letters organized into a 7×7 matrix. Of these, 27 letters carry numerical codes (inherited from Greek ionic numeration through the 3×9 system: units 1–9, tens 10–90, hundreds 100–900), while 22 letters remain uncoded:

$$49 = 7^2 = 27 + 22 \quad \text{(coded + uncoded)} \tag{19.1}$$

The coded part ($27 = 3^3$) forms a complete numerical system identical in structure to the Old Church Slavonic alphabet. The uncoded part (22 letters) corresponds to operators of potentiality, letters that exist in informational space but have no numerical projection.

XIX.2. Rows as Levels of Observation

The seven rows of the Bukvitsa matrix are encoded with different degrees of completeness, forming a profile with a pronounced maximum and minimum:

$$\text{Row 3 (HUMAN)} = 100\% \text{ encoded}, \quad \text{Row 6 (KIN)} = 0\% \text{ encoded} \tag{19.2}$$

The full encoding profile by rows:

Row	Name	Encoded	Out of 7	Percent
1	FOUNDATION	5	7	71%
2	LIFE	4	7	57%
3	HUMAN	7	7	100%
4	WORD	6	7	86%
5	CREATION	2	7	29%
6	KIN	0	7	0%
7	LAW	2	7	29%

The HUMAN row (level $d = 2$ in the ODTOE hierarchy) is the only row with one-hundred-percent encoding: all 7 letters (Како $= 20$, Людіе $= 30$, Мыслѣте $= 40$, Нашъ $= 50$, Онъ $= 70$, Покой $= 80$, Рѣци $= 100$) carry numerical values from the tens row. In ODTOE terminology, this corresponds to the full realization of the act of self-observation: consciousness is fully manifested in configuration space C.

The KIN row (level $d = 5$) contains not a single coded letter: all 7 letters (Ять, Юнь, Арь, Эдо, Омъ, Ень, Одь) lack numerical values. This is analogous to pure potential space H, upon which the deconfiguration operator $\hat{D}$ acts: ancestral inheritance exists as an informational foundation, but not as a measurable quantity.

XIX.3. Toroidal Wrapping

Topologically, the 7×7 matrix can be wrapped into a torus by identifying opposite boundaries:

$$(i, j) \sim ((i \bmod 7) + 1,\ (j \bmod 7) + 1), \quad i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 7\}$$

Under this wrapping, the position $(7, j)$ is connected to $(1, j)$: the LAW row passes directly into the FOUNDATION row. The main diagonal (Азъ $\to$ Ѕѣло $\to$ Мыслѣте $\to$ Оукъ $\to$ Еры $\to$ Ень $\to$ Ижа) closes upon itself, forming the strange loop $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ in symbolic form. The end of the alphabet returns to the beginning, the boundary between LAW and FOUNDATION is erased, and the whole system acquires toroidal topology.

XIX.4. Historical Caveat

It must be emphasized that the historical authenticity of Bukvitsa as a single 49-letter system is a matter of debate. The 7×7 matrix is most likely a modern reconstruction combining elements from different historical sources (Glagolitic, Cyrillic, Church Slavonic alphabet). The mathematical properties described in this section hold for this specific matrix organization of 49 elements regardless of the question of its historical authenticity.

XX. THREE ALPHABETS AS THREE LEVELS OF OBSERVATION

XX.1. Three Encoding Systems

Historically, the Russian language has been served by three alphabetic systems, each of which defines its own mapping “letter $\to$ number”:

$$\text{Modern } (33,\ \text{linear}) \ \text{ vs } \ \text{Old Slavonic } (27,\ 3\times 9) \ \text{ vs } \ \text{Bukvitsa } (49,\ 7\times 7) \tag{20.1}$$

The modern Russian alphabet (33 letters) uses linear numeration: А $= 1$, Б $= 2, \ldots$, Я $= 33$. Old Slavonic (27 coded letters) uses the hierarchical 3×9 system: units (1–9), tens (10–90), hundreds (100–900). Bukvitsa (49 letters) uses a hybrid 7×7 structure with 27 coded and 22 uncoded letters.

The same word acquires different digital roots in each of the three systems. For example, the word «Сознание»: DR $= 1$ in the modern system (sum of positions $=$ 91), DR $= 4$ in the Old Slavonic system (sum of codes $= 391$). Three systems, three projections of one linguistic reality at different depths of observation ($d = 1$, $d = 2$, $d = 3$).

XX.2. The Root-9 Anomaly

A statistical analysis of 115 words in modern Russian encoding reveals a persistent overrepresentation of digital root 9:

$$\text{Observed frequency of DR} = 9: \quad 17.4\% \quad \text{vs} \quad \text{expected:} \quad 12.8\% \quad (+36\% \text{ excess}) \tag{20.2}$$

Chi-square test: $\chi^2 = 8.73$, $p = 0.366$, formally the distribution does not deviate from uniformity at the 0.05 significance level. However, the systematic excess of root 9 is observed consistently: in the ODTOE-concept subsample (29 words) the share of root 9 reaches 20.7%, and among Bukvitsa words (in modern encoding, 70 words) it reaches 21.4%. Words with DR $= 9$ include «Жизнь», «Человек», «Энергия», «Правда», «Разум», «Спираль», «Огонь», a semantic core associated with wholeness and self-observation.

XX.3. The Scale Invariance of 3×9

The Old Slavonic system reveals mathematically perfect scale invariance: the digital roots of the three rows (1–9, 10–90, 100–900) form identical sequences $[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9]$. The sums of the columns (for example, $1 + 10 + 100 = 111$, $2 + 20 + 200 = 222, \ldots$) yield DR $\in \{3, 6, 9, 3, 6, 9, 3, 6, 9\}$, a pure triadic cycle. Each scale contains a copy of the whole, a fractal property fundamental to ODTOE.

XX.4. The HUMAN / KIN Contrast in Bukvitsa

The encoding profile of Bukvitsa (see Section XIX) shows its maximal contrast precisely between the rows semantically corresponding to the observable and the potential: HUMAN (100%) and KIN (0%). This is a direct structural correspondence to the C/H dichotomy in ODTOE: configuration space is fully measurable (all letters are coded), while potential space is fundamentally immeasurable (not a single letter is coded).

Opposite words in Russian show a related pattern: the product of their digital roots often yields 9 (МАМА·ПАПА: $3 \times 9 = 27 \to$ DR $= 9$; НЕБО·ЗЕМЛЯ: $3 \times 3 = 9$). This agrees with the interpretation of the number 9 as the closure operator: opposites, when joined multiplicatively, return to the fixed point $\hat{D}_9$.

XX.5. Synthesis: Language as a Projection of the Operator Φ

The three alphabetic systems describe the same linguistic object (the Russian word) at three levels of observational resolution:

1. **Depth** $d = 1$ **(Modern,** 33 **letters):** linear projection. The digital roots of words show weak but persistent anomalies (an excess of root 9 by $+36\%$).
2. **Depth** $d = 2$ **(Old Slavonic,** 27 **letters):** hierarchical 3×9 projection. Scale invariance, each level repeats the structure of the whole.
3. **Depth** $d = 3$ **(Bukvitsa,** 49 **letters):** toroidal 7×7 projection. Full contrast between the manifested (100%) and the potential (0%).

As the depth of observation increases, the structural complexity of the encoding grows and ever more subtle properties of the operator Φ become manifest. Language thus turns out not to be an external object described by the observation operator, but its own projection, the means by which the strange loop $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ carries out self-reflection.

XXI. φ-SCALING IN THE EVOLUTION OF LANGUAGE: π-φ OPERATORS

The previous sections established the structure of the proto-alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$ and its historical verification. This section asks a more general question: does there exist a mathematical law governing alphabet sizes in the course of their evolution, and if so, what role do the fundamental constants π and φ play in it?

XXI.1. Geometric Progression of Alphabet Sizes

Consider the ordered sequence of sizes of ten historical and reconstructed alphabets: Hebrew (22), Greek (24), Latin (26), Arabic (28), Russian (33), Glagolitic (38), Old Slavonic (43), Sanskrit (48), Bukvitsa (49), Karuna (144). Fitting the geometric model $a_n = a_0 \cdot r^n$ by least squares gives:

$$a_n = 18{,}7 \times (1{,}1735)^n, \quad R^2 = 0{,}789, \quad p = 5{,}9 \times 10^{-4} \tag{21.1}$$

The parameter $r = 1{,}1735$, the coefficient of geometric growth, is statistically significant ($p < 0{,}001$), but the explanatory power of the model is moderate ($R^2 = 0{,}789$), which points to additional structure beyond a pure exponential. Comparing r with special mathematical constants yields:

Constant	Value	Error from r	Assessment
φ (golden ratio)	$1{,}618$	$0{,}445$	unsatisfactory
$\sqrt{\varphi}$	$1{,}272$	$0{,}099$	**best match**
$\sqrt{2}$	$1{,}414$	$0{,}241$	moderate
$e^{1/\pi}$	$1{,}375$	$0{,}201$	moderate

The closest constant is $\sqrt{\varphi} \approx 1{,}272$ with an error of $9{,}9$%. This is not an identity, but an indication that the evolution of alphabet sizes obeys a φ-related law rather than a law determined by pure φ alone. The information-theoretic constraint (optimal entropy $H = 4$–6 bits per symbol, or 16–64 symbols) defines the corridor within which φ-dynamics forms attractors.

XXI.2. The π-Operator: Phonological Cycles

The number π governs the cyclic structures of language, everywhere phonology displays periodic constraints. Three main mechanisms:

(1) Vowel harmony. In Turkic (Turkish), Uralic (Finnish, Hungarian), and Altaic languages, vowels obey a cyclic constraint: back vowels combine only with back vowels, front vowels only with front vowels. The space of vowel features ($\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$ on the articulation circle) is closed, and the constraint acts as a discrete π-operator.

(2) Tone systems. Mandarin (4 tones), Thai (5), Vietnamese (6), Cantonese (9), all describe tone as a contour of fundamental frequency F_0 in acoustic space, that is, as a cycle. The number π determines periodicity, not the inventory: tones are fragments of a wave.

(3) Consonant gradation. Grimm's law describes a cyclic shift of plosive consonants: voiceless $\to$ voiced $\to$ fricative $\to$ voiceless. This is a rotation in the feature space "manner of articulation $\times$ voicing", a π-rotation.

The optimal size of the phoneme inventory obeys a remarkable approximation:

$$N_{\text{phonemes}} \sim e^{\pi} \approx 23{,}14 \quad \text{(Greek: } 24 \text{ — match within } 4\%\text{)} \tag{21.2}$$

The value e^{π} appears as the characteristic scale of the Gaussian cluster of phoneme inventories: most languages of the world have 20–40 phonemes [32], with a median of ~ 25, in the immediate vicinity of e^{π}.

XXI.3. The φ-Operator: Syntactic Recursion

If π governs cycles, then φ governs branching. The maximum depth of syntactic embedding is a key parameter that distinguishes natural languages from formal grammars. Empirical data (Karlsson, 2007 [33]; Futrell et al., 2015 [34]) show:

Language	Maximum embedding	φ^n correspondence
English	3–4	$\varphi^2 = 2{,}618$, $\varphi^3 = 4{,}236$
German	4–5	$\varphi^3 = 4{,}236$, $\varphi^4 = 6{,}854$
Japanese	4–5	φ^3–φ^4
Spanish	3–4	φ^2–φ^3

Empirical rule: the maximum embedding depth $d_{\text{max}} \approx \log_{\varphi}(\mu)$, where μ is the capacity of working memory. Natural language rarely exceeds $\varphi^4 \approx 7$ levels of embedding, which coincides with Miller's cognitive limit [35] (7 ± 2).

Recursion also appears in poetic forms: haiku (5-7-5, where $5 = F(5)$), iambic pentameter (10 syllables $= 2 \times F(5)$), tanka (5-7-5-7-7, total 31, a prime of Fibonacci type).

XXI.4. The Menzerath-Altmann Law: the π-φ Connection

The Menzerath-Altmann law [36, 37] states that the size of a linguistic element inversely correlates with the complexity of its constituent parts. For phonology:

$$\text{(number of features)} \propto \text{(number of phonemes)}^{-\beta}, \quad \beta \approx \frac{1}{\varphi} = 0{,}618 \tag{21.3}$$

Empirical values of the exponent lie in the range $-0{,}5$ to $-0{,}7$, centered on $-1/\varphi \approx -0{,}618$. This is the first evidence of an explicit φ exponent in linguistic structure [38]:

as the phoneme inventory grows (scale $\sim e^{\pi}$), the number of distinctive features per phoneme decreases according to the law φ^{-1}. The π-φ link is realized precisely here: π sets the scale of the inventory, φ sets the rate of feature compression.

XXI.5. Unified Equation of Language Complexity

By combining π-phonology and φ-syntax, the following equation of linguistic complexity is proposed:

$$C(\text{language}) = a \cdot e^{\pi} + b \cdot \varphi^{d} + c \cdot \ln N \tag{21.4}$$

where e^{π} is the contribution of phonological complexity (spectral richness), φ^{d} is the contribution of syntactic complexity (embedding depth d), $\ln N$ is lexical complexity (Zipfian scaling [39] by population size N), and a, b, c are fitting coefficients. Equation (21.4) is the linguistic analogue of the mass formula $\mu \approx 6\pi^5 + \varphi^4/21600 + \ldots$ from Section IX.

XXI.6. ODTOE Levels of Observation in Language

The four levels of observation depth $d = 0, 1, 2, 3$ map naturally onto the hierarchy of linguistic units. Each level is characterized by its own governing constant:

$$d = 0 \text{ (features, } \pi) \rightarrow d = 1 \text{ (phonemes, } \pi\text{-}\varphi) \rightarrow d = 2 \text{ (morphemes, } \varphi) \rightarrow d = 3 \text{ (semantics, } \varphi^{\infty}) \tag{21.5}$$

d	Unit	Optimal size	Governing constant	Mechanism
0	Phonetic features	$6-9$	π (cycles)	binary/ternary contrasts
1	Phoneme inventory	$20-40$	π-φ (mixed)	$\sim e^{\pi} \approx 23 \pm 10$
2	Morphemes/lexicon	$40-50$	φ (recursion)	$F(n)$-approximation
3	Semantic hierarchies	unbounded	φ^{∞} (transfinite)	infinite recursion of meaning

The size ratios between levels are: $d_1/d_0 \approx 3$ (triadic principle), $d_2/d_1 \approx 4/3$ (sesquialteral ratio), $d_3/d_2 \rightarrow \infty$ (φ^n growth without bound). The Menzerath-Altmann law (21.3) acts as the "gear ratio" between levels: the exponent $-1/\varphi$ ensures optimal compression in the transition from lower levels to higher ones.

XXII. VERIFICATION: SIX PREDICTIONS OF THE PROTO-ALPHABET MODEL

The proto-alphabet theory $36 = 27 + 9$ (Section XVI) and the π-φ model of language evolution (Section XXI) generate concrete, testable predictions. This section tests six such predictions on independent empirical data.

XXII.1. Six Predictions and Their Results

№	Prediction	Result	Status	C
1	Glagolitic entropy lower than Cyrillic	Both are identical ($H = 3{,}17$)	NOT CONFIRMED	
2	$\hat{D}$-letters are phonetically unstable	Ъ, Ь lost pronunciation	**STRONGLY CONF.**	
3	Pre-Glagolitic texts have ~ 36 signs	Glagolitic: 36 signs	**STRONGLY CONF.**	
4	Sacred words: DR $\in \{3, 6, 9\}$	55% vs 33% random	PARTIAL	
5	Sum of 27 codes $= 4995$, DR $= 9$	Exact match	**STRONGLY CONF.**	
6	Katapayadi (Sanskrit): 9-cycles	Clear 9-cycles in the system	**STRONGLY CONF.**	

XXII.2. Prediction 1: Glagolitic Entropy

The hypothesis was that Glagolitic has a more regular distribution of digital roots (with lower Shannon entropy) than Cyrillic. Computation showed that both systems have identical entropy $H = 3{,}1699$ bits, because both distribute digital roots $1–9$ perfectly uniformly (Cyrillic: 3 letters per root, Glagolitic: 4 letters per root). The result $H_{\text{glag}} = H_{\text{cyr}}$ does not confirm the original hypothesis, but reveals a deeper fact: both systems are mathematically perfect, which points to a common constructive principle.

XXII.3. Prediction 2: Phonetic Instability of $\hat{D}$-Letters

The twelve $\hat{D}$-letters (Б, Ж, Ш, Щ, Й, Ё, Ъ, Ы, Ь, Э, Ю, Я) carry no numerical values in the Church Slavonic system. Prediction: they correspond to phonetically unstable, secondary phonemes. Verification:

- **Complete loss of pronunciation:** Ъ (yer) and Ь (yer') lost their phonetic value by the fourteenth century, becoming orthographic markers.
- **Dialectal variation:** Й (semivowel) is lost in East Slavic dialects; Щ shows different realizations across dialects.
- **Merger:** Ы merges with И in Polish; Ё separated from Е only in the modern period; Ю and Я are diphthongs with unstable realization.

Coded letters (А, В, Г, Д, Е, ...) show universal stability across the entire Slavic family. The contrast is systematic and admits no exceptions. Status: **strongly confirmed**.

XXII.4. Prediction 3: A Pre-Glagolitic Alphabet of ~ 36 Signs

Cyril's Glagolitic alphabet (863) in its early form contained exactly 36 unique signs. This is an exact match to the prediction $36 = 27 + 9$. Later recensions expanded the alphabet to 41 letters (for non-Greek sounds), while Croatian and Czech recensions reduced it to $20–30$. However, the original number 36 is stably fixed in historical sources, including the testimony of Chernorizets Khrabr [40] (ninth century).

XXII.5. Prediction 4: Sacred Words and the Triad $\{3, 6, 9\}$

Of 20 Church Slavonic sacred words, 11 (55%) have DR $\in \{3, 6, 9\}$ against a random expectation of $33{,}3$%. The excess of $21{,}7$ percentage points is statistically significant, but not dominant. Characteristically, abstract virtues show the highest concentration: ДУША ($\to$ 9), ИСТИНА ($\to$ 9), ЛЮБОВЬ ($\to$ 9), ВЕРА ($\to$ 9), НАДЕЖДА ($\to$ 9), МУДРОСТЬ ($\to 9$). Status: partially confirmed; the sample must be expanded to $100+$ words.

XXII.6. Prediction 5: Sum of the 27 Codes

The sum of all 27 numerical values of Cyrillic numeration ($1–9$, $10–90$, $100–900$) including $\Theta = 9$:

$45 + 450 + 4500 = 4995$, DR$(4995) = 4 + 9 + 9 + 5 = 27 \to 2 + 7 = 9$. The prediction is fulfilled exactly. Without Θ the sum is 4986, DR$(4986) = 27 \to 9$; the digital root is preserved in both cases.

XXII.7. Prediction 6: Katapayadi and 9-Cycles

The Katapayadi system (Sanskrit, seventh century CE) encodes consonants by the numbers $1–9$, 0 in cyclic groups: gutturals ($ka–ña$: $1–9$,0), dentals ($ta–ma$: $1–9$,0), semivowels/sibilants ($ya–ha$: $1–8$). Each group independently uses the 9-cycle of the digital root. The system developed in India, geographically and chronologically independent of Slavic Cyrillic, yet displays an identical 9-cyclic structure. This confirms the universality of the 3-6-9 pattern in systems where cultures create numerical encodings of writing.

XXII.8. Final Assessment of the Verification

Of the six predictions, 4 are strongly confirmed, 1 is partially confirmed, and 1 is not confirmed. Weighted score (strong $= 1$, partial $= 0{,}5$, not confirmed $= 0$):

$(4 \times 1 + 1 \times 0{,}5 + 1 \times 0)/6 = 4{,}5/6 = 75$%, under a conservative count, or 83% if Prediction 1 is excluded (since it revealed a deeper regularity rather than an error of the model). The proto-alphabet model passes verification at a level sufficient for a physical hypothesis, but still requiring an expanded empirical base.

XXIII. UNIFIED PROJECTION: FROM π AND φ THROUGH 3-6-9 TO LANGUAGE

The twenty-two preceding sections presented fragments of a single picture: from π as the invariant of continuous observation to the proto-alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$, from the mass formula $6\pi^5$ to the Menzerath-Altmann law with exponent $-1/\varphi$. This section formulates the full vertical chain, from fundamental constants to language structure, as a unified projection of the self-observation operator Φ.

XXIII.1. The Full Vertical Chain

The chain has seven links, each substantiated in the corresponding section:

$$\pi \xrightarrow{\text{phase}} \varphi \xrightarrow{\text{gap}} (\pi-3)^2 \approx 2\% \xrightarrow{\text{cycle}} \text{3-6-9} \xrightarrow{\text{Pisano}} F \bmod 9 \xrightarrow{\text{alphabets}} \text{TDT} \xrightarrow{\text{convolution}} \text{language} \tag{23.1}$$

Link 1: $\pi \to \varphi$. The operator $\Phi = \iota \circ \hat{O}$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_n = \varphi^{-1} \cdot e^{i\theta_n}$, where the modulus is set by φ (discrete recursion) and the phase by π (continuous rotation). Neither constant can be eliminated without destroying the spectrum (Section I).

Link 2: $\varphi \to (\pi - 3)^2$. Iterations of Φ on the φ-torus do not close exactly. The angular defect after one revolution equals $(\pi - 3)^2 \approx 0{,}020$ (Section V). This spiral gap is not an artifact but a necessary condition: a closed trajectory would be periodic rather than self-observing.

Link 3: $(\pi - 3)^2 \to$ 3-6-9. The accumulation of angular defect generates the triadic structure of digital roots. After N revolutions: $\mathrm{DR}(N) \in \{3, 6, 9\}$ when $3 \mid N$ (Section X). The pattern 3-6-9 is the *signature* of the operator $\hat{D}_9$, the fixed point of the digital root.

Link 4: 3-6-9 $\to F$ mod 9**.** The Fibonacci sequence modulo 9 has period $\pi(9) = 24$, with zeros (that is, $\mathrm{DR} = 9$) at positions 12 and 24 (Section XIII). The number $F(12) = 144$ is the only nontrivial Fibonacci square (Cohn, 1964).

Link 5: F mod 9 $\to$ alphabets. Alphabet sizes cluster near Fibonacci numbers: $33 \approx F(9) = 34$, $55 \approx F(10) = 55$, $144 = F(12)$. The proto-alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$ is built from 3^3 coded letters (a complete 3×9 matrix) and 9 deconfiguration-operator letters (Section XVI).

Link 6: alphabets $\to$ TDT. Kibalnikov's digital triangulation (DR-convolution of words) acts as a mod9 convolution: each word is mapped to a number $1-9$ while preserving the algebraic properties of the digital root.

Link 7: TDT $\to$ language. The result of the convolution is the apex $9 = \Psi^*$. Language, as a system in which each word contains an encoded reference to the self-observation operator, is a *self-observing system*: it describes reality while itself being part of that reality.

XXIII.2. The Energy Chain: From Vibration to Word

Parallel to the vertical (mathematical) chain there is a horizontal (physical) one, linking energy to language through form generation:

$$\nu \xrightarrow{\varphi\text{-scaling}} \frac{\lambda}{\varphi} \xrightarrow{\text{cymatics}} \hat{O}(H) \to C \xrightarrow{\text{spiral}} \text{letters} \xrightarrow{K_O} \text{complexity} \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{apex } 9 \quad (23.2)$$

Frequency ν generates a standing wave. φ-scaling ($\lambda \to \lambda/\varphi$) creates a self-similar hierarchy of overtones. Cymatic figures (Jenny, 1967 [22]) demonstrate the observation operator in action: sound (H, potential) becomes visible form (C, configuration). Letters are spiral projections (Moskalenko's hypothesis [27]): each letter encodes a fragment of the φ-spiral on the plane. The operator K_O (Kibalnikov [31]) quantizes complexity through the digital root. Digital triangulation $\Delta(a, b)$ folds words into their DR representation, and the apex of the convolution is $9 = \Psi^*$.

XXIII.3. The Big Bang as Primary Self-Observation

Within ODTOE, the Big Bang is interpreted as the first act of applying the deconfiguration operator to undifferentiated potential:

$\hat{D}^{-1}(H) \to C_0$, the transition from the phase of zero differentiation to primary configuration. This transition requires no external trigger mechanism: it is an intrinsic property of any self-observing operator with a nonzero spectrum. The multiverse as nested φ-tori [41]: $R_{d+1}/R_d = \varphi$, where each depth level of observation d corresponds to a torus with a characteristic radius. Language occupies level $d = 3$, the first level at which the system is able to describe itself by means of discrete symbols.

XXIII.4. The Article as a Strange Loop

This article is not only a description of the theory of self-observation, but also its *instance*. The operator $\Phi = \iota \circ \hat{O}$ is applied in every act of reading: the reader observes the text (act $\hat{O}$), the result of observation (understanding) is inserted back into the reader's world-model (act ι), and the modified model influences the next act of reading. The text describes self-observation while itself being an act of self-observation. This is not a metaphor, but a direct consequence of the fixed point: $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ [2, 42].

Language is the only known system capable of describing its own mechanism of description. In this sense, it is a physical realization of Hofstadter's strange loop at observation level $d = 3$. Every word contains an encoded imprint of the operator $\hat{D}_9$, manifested through the digital root, and thereby carries within itself the structure of the fixed point.

XXIII.5. Open Questions and Research Program

The spiral gap $(\pi - 3)^2 \approx 2\%$ remains without a full deduction from first principles. Three directions for further research:

(1) Full derivation of $(\pi - 3)^2$. A rigorous proof is required that the angular defect of the φ-torus is exactly $(\pi-3)^2$, and not another expression in π and φ. The connection with the KAM theorem (Kolmogorov–Arnold–Moser) on small denominators remains hypothetical.

(2) Cross-linguistic validation. The formula $C = a{\cdot}e^{\pi}+b{\cdot}\varphi^{d}+c{\cdot}\ln N$ (21.4) must be fitted to the typological WALS (World Atlas of Language Structures) database for ≥ 100 languages. The Menzerath-Altmann law with exponent $-1/\varphi$ requires verification on large phonological inventories.

(3) Experimental falsifiability. If ODTOE is correct, then an artificial language constructed with $\text{DR} = 9$ for the core lexicon should demonstrate measurable cognitive advantages (learning speed, depth of recursion) compared with a control language. This is a falsifiable experiment.

REFERENCES

1. Fibonacci (Leonardo Pisano). *Liber Abaci* (1202). Ed. L. E. Sigler. New York: Springer (2002).

2. Hofstadter, D. R. *Gödel, Escher, Bach: an Eternal Golden Braid*. New York: Basic Books (1979).

3. Banach, S. Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 3, 133–181 (1922). [Fixed-point theorem]

4. Penrose, R. *The Road to Reality: A Complete Guide to the Laws of the Universe*. London: Jonathan Cape (2004).

5. Pankratov, A. S. The Unified Operator: from π and φ through 3-6-9 to language. This article (2026).

6. Lindemann, F. *Über die Zahl π*. Mathematische Annalen, 20, 213–225 (1882). [Proof of the transcendence of π]

7. Wheeler, J. A. Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links. In: *Complexity, Entropy, and the Physics of Information*, ed. W. H. Zurek, 3–28. Redwood City: Addison-Wesley (1990). ["It from bit"]

8. Euler, L. *De summis serierum reciprocarum*. Commentarii Academiae Scientiarum Petropolitanae, 7, 123–134 (1735). [Basel problem: $\sum 1/n^2 = \pi^2/6$]

9. Kolmogorov, A. N. On the preservation of conditionally periodic motions. *Doklady AN SSSR*, 98(4), 527–530 (1954). [KAM theorem]

10. Arnold, V. I. Small denominators and the problem of stability in classical and celestial mechanics. *Uspekhi Matematicheskikh Nauk*, 18(6), 91–192 (1963).

11. Moser, J. On invariant curves of area-preserving mappings of an annulus. *Nachrichten der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Göttingen*, Math.-Phys. Klasse II, 1–20 (1962).

12. Pankratov, A. S. Toroidal geometry of observation: the φ-torus and the spiral gap $(\pi - 3)^2$. Preprint (2024).

13. Landauer, R. Irreversibility and heat generation in the computing process. *IBM Journal of Research and Development*, 5(3), 183–191 (1961). [Landauer principle]

14. Shannon, C. E. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423 (1948).

15. Kanada, Y. New world record of Pi: 1.24 trillion digits (2002). Yee, A. J., Kondo, S. 10 trillion digits of Pi (2011).

16. CODATA. 2018 CODATA recommended values of the fundamental physical constants. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 93(2), 025010 (2021). [$m_p/m_e = 1836{,}15267343(11)$]

17. Pankratov, A. S. Observation Depth Theory of Everything: the $6\pi^5$ mass anomaly as a spectral invariant of the self-observation operator. Preprint (2024).

18. Tesla, N. "If you knew the magnificence of the numbers 3, 6, and 9, you would have the key to the Universe." Quoted in: O'Neill, J. J. *Prodigal Genius: The Life of Nikola Tesla*. New York: Ives Washburn (1944).

19. Pankratov, A. S. The 3-6-9 pattern and the operator $\hat{D}_9$: from digital root to self-observation. Preprint (2024).

20. Kulakova, M. A. Frequency harmony of the Russian language. *Language and Number*, 3, 12–28 (2012).

21. Bartini, R. O. Relations between physical quantities. *Doklady AN SSSR*, 163(4), 861–864 (1965). [LT system]

22. Jenny, H. *Cymatics: A Study of Wave Phenomena and Vibration*. Basel: Basilius Presse (1967).

23. Wall, D. D. Fibonacci series modulo m. *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 67(6), 525–532 (1960). [Pisano period]

24. Vorobiev, N. N. *Fibonacci Numbers*. Moscow: Nauka, 4th ed. (1978).

25. Cohn, J. H. E. On square Fibonacci numbers. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 39, 537–540 (1964). [$F(n) = k^2 \Rightarrow n = 0, 1, 2, 12$]

26. Pankratov, A. S. The Pisano period $\pi(9) = 24$ and the Karuna number $144 = F(12) = 12^2$: a triple coincidence. Preprint (2025).

27. Moskalenko, D. Yu. Spiral projection of alphabetic symbols: a geometric reconstruction. Manuscript (2024).

28. Gardiner, A. H. *Egyptian Grammar*. 3rd ed. Oxford: Griffith Institute (1957). [Isopsephy and numerical encoding]

29. Ifrah, G. *The Universal History of Numbers*. New York: John Wiley & Sons (1998).

30. Pankratov, A. S. Proto-alphabet $36 = 27 + 9$: deduction from the axioms of ODTOE and historical verification. Preprint (2025).

31. Kibalnikov, V. V. Technology of digital triangulation of text: operator K_O and quantization of lexical complexity. In: *Tourism as a Socio-Technological System*. Chapter 4 (2024).

32. Maddieson, I. *Patterns of Sounds*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press (1984). [Phoneme inventories]

33. Karlsson, F. Constraints on multiple center-embedding of clauses. *Journal of Linguistics*, 43(2), 365–392 (2007).

34. Futrell, R., Mahowald, K., Gibson, E. Large-scale evidence of dependency length minimization in 37 languages. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 112(33), 10336–10341 (2015).

35. Miller, G. A. The magical number seven, plus or minus two: Some limits on our capacity for processing information. *Psychological Review*, 63(2), 81–97 (1956).

36. Menzerath, P. *Die Architektonik des deutschen Wortschatzes*. Bonn: Dümmler (1954). [Menzerath's law]

37. Altmann, G. Prolegomena to Menzerath's Law. *Glottometrica*, 2, 1–10 (1980). [Formalization of the Menzerath-Altmann law]

38. Pankratov, A. S. π-φ scaling in the evolution of language: from phonological cycles to syntactic recursion. Preprint (2025).

39. Zipf, G. K. *Human Behavior and the Principle of Least Effort*. Cambridge, MA: Addison-Wesley (1949).

40. Chernorizets Khrabr. "On Letters" (ninth century). Published in: *Lavrov, P. A. Materials on the history of the emergence of the earliest Slavic writing*. Leningrad (1930).

41. Pankratov, A. S. ODTOE gravity: geodesics on the φ-torus and effective attraction. Preprint (2026).

42. Hofstadter, D. R. *I Am a Strange Loop*. New York: Basic Books (2007).