THE GLOBAL PYRAMID NETWORK AS A PLANETARY COHERENCE LATTICE: ANALYSIS THROUGH THE ODTOE FORMALISM

Pankratov Anton Sergeevich
Independent researcher, Kazan, Russia
E-mail: anton.s.pankratov@gmail.com
ORCID: 0009-0002-4870-2995

UDC 530.145 + 902/904 + 514.17

ABSTRACT

A network of pyramidal structures distributed across all continents is identified on the Earth's surface: Giza (Egypt), Teotihuacan and Maya complexes (Mesoamerica), Jiangxi and Xi'an (China), Gunung Padang (Indonesia), Túcume (Peru), the pyramids of Sudan, Bosnia, and others [4, 5]. These structures were erected by independent civilizations, exhibit similar geometry with characteristic proportions containing φ and π [6, 7], are oriented along astronomical axes, and reveal regularities in spatial distribution. Within the Observer-Dependent Theory of Everything (ODTOE) [1], an interpretation of this phenomenon as a planetary coherence lattice is proposed. It is shown that pyramidal geometry constitutes an exact geometric analogue of the ternary architecture of the minimal act of observation (observable R — base, operator $\hat{O}$ — faces, observer O — apex). Each pyramid is interpreted as a local fixed point Ψ_α^* of self-observation [1, Statement 4], and their totality as a lattice stabilizing the planetary configuration of reality through increasing coherence S [1, formula 4.5] and extending the lifetime of the civilizational configuration $T(C) = T_0/(1-S)^n$ [1, P3.1]. Five testable hypotheses and limitations of the interpretation are discussed.

Keywords: pyramids, coherence, lattice, fixed point, ternary architecture, π, φ, planetary observation, ODTOE.

I. PROBLEM STATEMENT

A network of pyramidal structures distributed across all continents is identified on the Earth's surface [4, 5]: Giza (Egypt), Teotihuacan and Maya complexes (Mesoamerica), Jiangxi and Xi'an (China), Gunung Padang (Indonesia), Túcume (Peru), the pyramids of Sudan, Bosnia, and others. These structures were erected by independent civilizations that had (within the framework of standard historiography) no direct contact; they exhibit similar geometry (pyramidal form with characteristic proportions, often containing φ and π) [6, 7]; they are oriented along astronomical and geomagnetic axes; they are not randomly distributed but reveal regularities in their distribution by latitude and longitude.

The present work interprets this phenomenon through the formalism of the Observer-Dependent Theory of Everything (ODTOE) [1], without claiming archaeological or historical verification, but rather exploring the structural necessity of such a network within the framework of the metatheory.

II. KEY ELEMENTS OF THE ODTOE FORMALISM

II.1. Axiom (A): the observer constitutes the observable

$$R = \hat{O}(\Psi) \tag{A.1}$$

Reality R does not pre-exist but is formed by the observation operator $\hat{O}$ applied to the field of potential states $\Psi \in \mathcal{H}$ [1].

II.2. Cognitive coherence $B(O, C)$

$$B(O, C) = F^{w_1} \cdot E^{w_2} \cdot (1 - \sigma)^{w_3} \cdot \Lambda^{w_4} \tag{D1.1}$$

A four-component multiplicative structure: attentional focus (F), emotional coherence (E), self-consistency ($1 - \sigma$), empirical reinforcement (Λ). Zeroing of any component $\Rightarrow B = 0$ [1].

II.3. Collective probability (P5)

$$P_{\text{coll}}(E) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{m} (1 - B_i^k) \tag{P5.1}$$

Collective observation forms shared reality. The contribution of each observer is proportional to their coherence [1].

II.4. System coherence S

$$S = 1 - \frac{2}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i<j} |B_i - B_j| \tag{4.5}$$

When $S \to 1$, a unified configuration of reality exists; when $S \to S_{\text{min}}$, realities diverge [1].

II.5. Ternary architecture and the number π

The minimal act of observation requires three components: observer O, observable R, observation operator $\hat{O}$. This ternarity is related to $\pi > 3$, and $\pi \approx 3.14159$ expresses the nonlinear curvature of the act of observation [2].

II.6. Recursive self-similarity (∞-nesting)

The ternary architecture is reproduced at all scales—from sub-quark to cosmological [1, 3]. Each level contains an internal triad ensuring self-consistency.

II.7. Fixed point of self-observation

$$\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*) = \iota(\hat{O}_{\Psi^*}(\Psi^*)) \tag{U4.1}$$

A self-consistent configuration in which the observer and the observable are constituted by a single act [1, Statement 4].

III. THE PYRAMID AS A GEOMETRIC ANALOGUE OF THE TERNARY ARCHITECTURE

III.1. Structural correspondence

Pyramidal geometry exhibits a direct structural correspondence with the ternary architecture of the minimal act of observation [1, 3]:

ODTOE Component	**Pyramid Element**	**Function**
Observable R (configuration)	Base (square)	Actualized reality—the plane of the material world
Observer O (agent)	Apex	Point of singular convergence—consciousness closing the loop
Operator $\hat{O}$ ($\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{C}$)	Faces (four triangular planes)	Operator transforming the potential into the actual

The apex of the pyramid is the point where the multiplicity of the base (infinite-dimensional Ψ) collapses into the unity of the observer. The four faces correspond to the four components of coherence B: F, E, $(1-\sigma)$, Λ [1].

III.2. Multiplicative structure and geometry

The multiplicative structure $B = F^{w_1} \cdot E^{w_2} \cdot (1-\sigma)^{w_3} \cdot \Lambda^{w_4}$ is geometrically expressed in the pyramid: if even one face is absent (component $= 0$), the entire structure loses integrity—the apex does not form [1, Property 1]. The pyramid is the unique minimal polyhedron in which a multiplicity (base) converges to a unity (apex), every face is necessary for closure, and removal of any face destroys the entire structure [5].

III.3. The pyramid and the number π

The Great Pyramid of Giza demonstrates the ratio: base perimeter / height $\approx 2\pi$ (with accuracy to 0.05%) [6]. In the ODTOE formalism, π is a structural invariant of self-consistent observation [2]. The presence of π in the proportions of the pyramid means that the builders (consciously or not) reproduced in stone the topological length of one complete cycle of self-observation (2π).

III.4. The pyramid and the golden ratio φ

The slope of the Great Pyramid's faces encodes φ: the ratio of the apothem to half the base $\approx \varphi = 1.618\ldots$ [7]. In ODTOE, φ is a complementary invariant arising from the discrete iterative dynamics of self-reference through the Banach theorem [8]. The pyramid simultaneously contains both invariants: π (continuous phase dynamics) and φ (discrete iterative dynamics), making it a complete geometric embodiment of the self-observation mechanism.

IV. THE PYRAMID NETWORK AS A PLANETARY COHERENCE LATTICE

IV.1. Postulate P5 and the necessity of spatial distribution

The collective probability $P_{\text{coll}}(E) = 1 - \prod(1 - B_i^k)$ increases with the number of coherent observers [1]. However, ODTOE establishes that coherence S depends on the synchronization of observers. For planetary-scale observation (dimensionality d corresponding to the civilizational level), what is required is not merely a multiplicity of observers but their spatially distributed synchronization.

Hypothesis: the pyramid network constitutes a physical infrastructure for maintaining coherence S at the planetary scale—a lattice of nodal points of collective observation.

IV.2. Each pyramid as a local fixed point Ψ^*

By Statement 4 of ODTOE [1], the fixed point $\Psi^* = \Phi(\Psi^*)$ defines a self-consistent configuration. In the planetary context, each pyramid is interpreted as a local fixed point—a site where the self-observation loop closes at a specific geographic node. The set of such points $\{\Psi^*_\alpha\}$ forms a lattice stabilizing the planetary configuration of reality.

IV.3. Configuration lifetime formula and civilizational stability

$$T(C) = \frac{T_0}{(1-S)^n} \tag{P3.1}$$

The lifetime of a configuration (civilization) tends to infinity as $S \to 1$ [1]. The pyramid network, by increasing S through spatially distributed coherence, enhances the stability of the civilizational configuration. Destruction or neglect of the pyramidal network $\to$ decrease in $S \to$ decrease in $T(C) \to$ civilizational instability.

IV.4. Reduction of stochastic noise

$$D(\eta) = D_0 \cdot (1 - S) \tag{4.4a}$$

The variance of the stochastic term in the reconfiguration dynamics decreases with increasing S [1]. The pyramidal lattice, by increasing coherence, reduces chaotic fluctuations in the evolution of the planetary configuration, stabilizing the developmental trajectory.

IV.5. Configuration inertia $I(C)$

The rate of reconfiguration is inversely proportional to inertia: $v = \alpha / I(C)$ [1]. The pyramid network can be interpreted as a mechanism for increasing the inertia of the desired configuration—fixing reality in a particular state through materialized coherence nodes.

V. RECURSIVE SELF-SIMILARITY AND THE SCALE STRUCTURE OF THE NETWORK

V.1. The ∞-nesting principle at the planetary scale

The ODTOE principle of recursive self-similarity [1, 3] states that the ternary architecture is reproduced at all scales:

Scale	**Observable** R	**Operator** $\hat{O}$	**Observer** O
Subatomic ($d = 0$)	Proton	Electron	Neutron
Atomic ($d = +1$)	Atom	Chem. bond	Atom
Individual	Body	Action	Consciousness
Pyramidal	Base	Faces	Apex
Planetary	Earth	Pyramid network	Collective consciousness
Cosmological	Universe	Phys. laws	Totality of observers

At the planetary scale: the Earth serves as the observable R, collective consciousness as the observer O, and the pyramid network as the observation operator $\hat{O}$, performing the mapping $\mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{C}$ at the scale of the planet [1].

V.2. Why specifically ternary distribution

The minimal configuration of a pyramidal network requires three nodes (by the ternary architecture, $\pi > 3$) [2]. Historically, three major clusters are identified: Egypt/Sudan, Mesoamerica, Southeast Asia/China [4, 5]—forming a planetary "triangle" that closes the observation loop at the global scale.

VI. SYNCHRONIZATION AND THE NUMBER π AS A SIGNATURE OF PLANETARY OBSERVATION

VI.1. Astronomical orientation as synchronization of attentional focus F

Virtually all major pyramids are oriented to the cardinal directions and/or toward specific astronomical objects [4, 6]. In ODTOE terms: astronomical orientation ensures the alignment of attentional focus F among geographically separated observers. Stars and solstices serve as a common field of potential states Ψ, to which observation operators are synchronously applied at various points on the planet.

VI.2. Ritual practices as a mechanism for increasing E and reducing σ

Pyramids were used as centers of ritual practice [9, 10]. In the ODTOE context: ritual $\to$ synchronization of emotional coherence E among observers; collective meditation/prayer $\to$ reduction of internal contradiction $\sigma \to$ increase in $(1 - \sigma)$; regularity of practices $\to$ increase in empirical reinforcement Λ through repeatability of results.

All four components of B are enhanced, which by the multiplicative formula (D1.1) yields exponential growth of coherence [1].

VI.3. 2π in the Great Pyramid as a quantum of planetary observation

The presence of 2π in the proportions of the Great Pyramid [6], in the ODTOE interpretation, means that this structure encodes one complete cycle of the self-observation loop at the planetary scale [2]. By analogy with $\hbar = h/(2\pi)$: if h is the "quantum of action" at the subatomic scale, then the pyramid is the "quantum of observation" at the planetary scale, normalized by the same factor 2π.

VII. THE FIRST OBSERVER PROBLEM AND THE PYRAMIDAL BOOTSTRAP

VII.1. Statement 4 of ODTOE at the civilizational level

Statement 4 [1] establishes: the observer is not introduced from without but arises as a fixed point of self-observation. At the civilizational level, this means: the civilization and its coherent infrastructure (pyramids) mutually constitute each other. Pyramids are not "built by a civilization" nor do they "create a civilization"—they arise as a fixed point of the joint dynamics.

VII.2. Wheeler's self-excited circuit at the planetary scale

The self-excitation chain [11]: civilization $\rightarrow$ construction of pyramids $\rightarrow$ increase of coherence $S \rightarrow$ stabilization of civilization $\rightarrow$ ability to build pyramids $\rightarrow$ ...This is an exact analogue of Wheeler's self-excited circuit, formalized in ODTOE through formula U4.2 [1].

VIII. PREDICTIONS AND TESTABLE CONSEQUENCES

1. *Geometric hypothesis.* All major pyramidal complexes must contain π and/or φ in their proportions, since these invariants are necessary for closing the self-observation loop [2, 8]. Verification: metrological analysis of less-studied pyramids (Sudan, China, Indonesia).
2. *Topological hypothesis.* The minimal stable configuration of the planetary network must contain ≥ 3 nodes (a consequence of $\pi > 3$) [2]. Civilizations with fewer than three pyramidal centers should demonstrate lower stability $T(C)$.
3. *Coherence hypothesis.* Periods of active pyramid use should correlate with maxima of civilizational stability, and periods of neglect with phases of instability [4, 5].
4. *Synchronization hypothesis.* Pyramids built in the same era on different continents should exhibit greater similarity in proportions (as a marker of a single level of S) than pyramids of different eras on the same continent [6, 7].
5. *Five minimal nodes hypothesis.* By analogy with the minimal stable team of 5 observers [12] (ternary architecture $\times$ resilience to the loss of one node), the minimal stable planetary lattice must contain 5 major pyramidal clusters.

IX. DISCUSSION AND LIMITATIONS

1. *Status of the analysis.* The present document constitutes a heuristic interpretation, not a rigorous proof. In terms of the ODTOE hierarchy: the

meta-level (axiom A + strange loop) is applied as a descriptive framework (non-falsifiable as a whole); the object level (postulates P1–P6) generates testable hypotheses (individually falsifiable) [1].

2. *What is not claimed.* It is not claimed that the pyramid builders "knew" ODTOE. No direct contact between civilizations is claimed. No "energetic" or "mystical" mechanism is claimed—only the formal structure of coherence.

3. *Alternative interpretation.* The pyramidal form may be explained by convergent evolution of architecture (the simplest stable monumental construction) [5]. The ODTOE interpretation does not exclude this hypothesis but complements it: the convergence of form is explained by the fact that one and the same fixed point Ψ^* attracts the trajectories of different civilizations toward a similar geometric solution (Banach contraction mapping theorem) [8].

4. *Formulas for S and $T(C)$.* The quantitative relationship between the number of pyramidal nodes and the value of S is not specified—this remains an open problem.

X. CONCLUSION

Within the ODTOE formalism, the global pyramid network is interpreted as a planetary lattice of fixed points of self-observation—a physical infrastructure ensuring: the closing of the self-observation loop at the planetary scale (ternary architecture) [1, 3]; increase of coherence S through spatially distributed synchronization of observers [1]; stabilization of the civilizational configuration $T(C) \to \infty$ as $S \to 1$ [1, P3.1]; encoding of the structural invariants π [2] and φ [8]—signatures of the self-observation mechanism—in stone; reproduction of the recursive self-similarity of ODTOE at the macroscopic level [3].

The pyramid is not primarily a temple or a tomb. In the ODTOE formalism, it is an elementary strange loop materialized at the planetary scale—a geometric analogue of the atom as a fixed point of self-observation [3], but at the dimensionality level d corresponding to civilization.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author declares no conflict of interest.

FUNDING

This work was carried out without external funding.

REFERENCES

1. Pankratov A.S. Theory of Everything: Observer-Dependent (Observer-Dependent Theory of Everything) // Preprint. — 2025. — 47 p.
2. Pankratov A.S. The number π as a structural invariant of self-consistent observation in ODTOE // Preprint. — 2025.
3. Pankratov A.S. The atom as an elementary strange loop in ODTOE // Preprint. — 2025.
4. Lehner M. The Complete Pyramids: Solving the Ancient Mysteries. — London: Thames & Hudson, 1997. — 256 p.
5. Verner M. The Pyramids: The Mystery, Culture, and Science of Egypt's Great Monuments. — New York: Grove Press, 2001. — 495 p.
6. Petrie W.M.F. The Pyramids and Temples of Gizeh. — London: Field & Tuer, 1883. — 250 p.
7. Livio M. The Golden Ratio: The Story of Phi, the World's Most Astonishing Number. — New York: Broadway Books, 2002. — 294 p.
8. Pankratov A.S. The golden ratio φ as an invariant of fractality, self-similarity, and recursion in ODTOE // Preprint. — 2025.
9. Eliade M. The Sacred and the Profane: The Nature of Religion. — New York: Harcourt, 1959. — 256 p.
10. Renfrew C. Archaeology and Language: The Puzzle of Indo-European Origins. — London: Jonathan Cape, 1987. — 346 p.
11. Wheeler J.A. Information, Physics, Quantum: The Search for Links // Proceedings III International Symposium on Foundations of Quantum Mechanics. — Tokyo, 1989. — P. 354–368.
12. Pankratov A.S. Children and family as the flowers of life: formalization through ODTOE // Preprint. — 2025.